

## THE SUPREMUM AXIOM FOR THE REAL NUMBERS

**Definitions.** A nonempty subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above if:

$$(\exists M \in \mathbb{R})(\forall x \in A)(x \leq M).$$

Any such  $M$  is an *upper bound* for  $A$ . A real number  $L$  is a *least upper bound* for  $A$  (or a *supremum* for  $A$ ) if:  $L$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and  $(\forall M \in \mathbb{R})(M \text{ is an upper bound for } A \rightarrow L \leq M)$ . Notation:  $L = \sup(A)$ .

Similar definitions apply to subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  which are bounded from below: any real number  $M$  with the property  $(\forall x \in A)(x \geq M)$  is a *lower bound* for  $A$  and a real number  $L$  which is a lower bound for  $A$  and, in addition, satisfies:  $(\forall M \in \mathbb{R})(M \text{ is a lower bound for } A \rightarrow L \geq M)$  is a *greatest lower bound* for  $A$ , or an *infimum* for  $A$ . Notation:  $L = \inf(A)$ .

**SUPREMUM AXIOM:** Any nonempty subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  which is bounded above has a supremum  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is not hard to see that the supremum of  $A$  is *unique*.  $\sup(A)$  is not necessarily an element of  $A$ ; when it is, we say it is the *maximum* of  $A$ .

It follows from the supremum axiom that any nonempty subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  which is bounded from below has an infimum. The infimum of a set is also unique, and  $\inf(A)$  may fail to be an element of  $A$ . When it is in  $A$ , we say it is the *minimum* of  $A$ .

*Remark:* AXIOMS FOR THE REAL NUMBERS. There are three groups of axioms:

1) *Algebraic axioms:*  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  is a *field*. This means:

1a)  $(\mathbb{R}, +, 0)$ : addition  $(+)$  is an associative, commutative operation with neutral element 0; any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  has a unique additive inverse  $-x$  (meaning  $x + (-x) = 0$ ).

1b)  $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot, 1)$ : multiplication  $(\cdot)$  is an associative, commutative operation, with neutral element 1. Any  $x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 0$  has a unique multiplicative inverse  $x^{-1}$  (meaning  $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1$ ).

1c) multiplication distributes over addition:  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ .

1d) Nontriviality:  $1 \neq 0$ .

2) *Order axioms.* There exists a subset  $\mathbb{R}_+ \subset \mathbb{R}$  with the following properties:

2a) defining  $x \leq y \leftrightarrow y - x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  establishes a *total order* relation in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

2b)  $x \leq y$  and  $z \leq w \rightarrow x + z \leq y + w$ .

2c)  $x \leq y$  and  $z \geq 0 \rightarrow x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$ .

3) The supremum axiom.

Note that the set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  also satisfies the algebraic and order axioms. What distinguishes  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}$  *does not* satisfy the supremum axiom. That is, a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is bounded above may fail to have a supremum in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Example.* Let  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} | x^2 < 2\}$ . Clearly  $A$  is nonempty and bounded above (say, 2 is an upper bound, since  $x > 2 \rightarrow x^2 > 4 \rightarrow x \notin A$ ). However, we'll see shortly that if  $L = \sup(A)$  exists (and, regarding  $A$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , it does) we must have  $L^2 = 2$ , and hence  $L$  can't be an element of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Theorem (Characterization of the supremum).* Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be nonempty and bounded above. Then  $L = \sup(A)$  if and only if  $L$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and:

$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists a \in A)(L - \epsilon < a \leq L).$$

Analogously, if  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is nonempty and bounded below,  $L = \inf(A)$  if, and only if,  $L$  is a lower bound for  $A$  and:

$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists a \in A)(L - \epsilon > a \geq L).$$

This isn't hard to prove.

*Example/Theorem.* Let  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x > 0 \text{ and } x^2 < 2\}$ . Then  $L = \sup(A)$  satisfies  $L^2 = 2$ . (Note that  $A$  is nonempty and bounded above, and that clearly  $L > 0$ ; thus this theorem establishes the *existence* of the positive square root of 2, as a real number.)

*Proof.* By contradiction. If  $L^2 \neq 2$ , either  $L^2 < 2$  or  $L^2 > 2$ . Assume first  $L^2 < 2$ . Let:

$$\epsilon = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2 - L^2}{2L + 1}\right\}.$$

Note  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , so  $\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$ . Let  $a = L + \epsilon > L$ . Note:

$$a^2 = L^2 + 2L\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < L^2 + (2L + 1)\epsilon \leq L^2 + (2 - L^2) = 2.$$

So  $a^2 < 2$ . This means  $a \in A$ , and yet  $a > L$ , contradicting the fact  $L$  is an upper bound for  $A$ .

Now suppose  $L^2 > 2$ . Then  $x = L - \frac{L^2 - 2}{2L} < L$ , and:

$$x^2 = L^2 - (L^2 - 2) + \frac{(L^2 - 2)^2}{4L^2} > 2.$$

Let  $a \in A$  be arbitrary. Since  $a > 0$ ,  $a^2 < 2$  and  $x^2 > 2$ , it follows that  $a^2 < x^2$ , so  $a < x$ . This shows  $x$  is an upper bound for  $A$ . Since  $x < L$ , this contradicts the fact that  $L$  is the least upper bound for  $A$ . Thus we must have  $L^2 = 2$ , ending the proof.

*Functions.* If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $E \subset A, E \neq \emptyset$ , the following are standard definitions:

If  $f(E) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above:  $\sup_E f = \sup f(E)$ ;  $\max_E f = \max f(E)$ .

If  $f(E) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded below:  $\inf_E f = \inf f(E)$ ;  $\min_E f = \min f(E)$ .

### PROBLEMS.

1. Find the supremum and the infimum of the following sets (when they exist.)

(a)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | 0 < x^2 - 3x - 5 < 1\}$ .

(b)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | (\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(x = \frac{1}{n} - (-1)^n)\}$ .

(c)  $A = \{1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{2n}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

2. Let  $A, B$  be nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , both bounded above.

(a) Prove that if  $A \subset B$ , then  $\sup(A) \leq \sup(B)$ .

(b) Prove that if  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sup(A \cap B) \leq \min\{\sup(A), \sup(B)\}$ .

(c) Give an example where the inequality in (b) is strict:  $\sup(A \cap B) < \min\{\sup(A), \sup(B)\}$

(d) Prove that  $\sup(A \cup B) = \max\{\sup(A), \sup(B)\}$ .

*Remark:* analogous results apply to the infimum.

3. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}, A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f, g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) Show that if  $f(A), g(A)$  are bounded above,  $\sup(f+g)(A) \leq \sup f(A) + \sup g(A)$ .

(b) Show that if  $f(A), g(A)$  are bounded below,  $\inf(f+g)(A) \geq \inf f(A) + \inf g(A)$ .

4. For each of the following functions  $f$  and sets  $A$ , find  $\sup_A f$  and  $\inf_A f$  (if they exist), and indicate if they are also a maximum (resp. a minimum.)

(a)  $f(x) = \frac{1+x^2}{x^2}, A = [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ .

(b)  $f(x) = \frac{x}{2+x^2}, A = (-1, 1)$ .

(c)  $f(x) = \frac{2}{2+\sin x}, A = \mathbb{R}$ .

(d)  $f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}, A = \mathbb{N}$ .

(e)  $f(x) = \frac{x+1}{x+2}, A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x \geq 0\}$