

Denotational Semantics

Establish the meaning of a program by specifying a meaning for each *phrase* of the Abstract Syntax Tree (AST).

- declarations
- commands
- expressions

The mean of a phrase is defined by the meaning of each sub-phrase.

- The meaning is its *denotation*.
- Established by providing *semantic functions* that map phrases to their denotations.

Example: Binary Numbers

Numerals are syntactic entity; numbers are *semantic*.

```
Numeral -> 0
          -> 1
          -> Numeral 0
          -> Numeral 1
```

Each (binary) numeral denotes a single member of the domain:

```
Natural = { 0, 1, 2, ... }
```

to formalize this, we define the semantic function:

valuation: Numeral → Natural

with the definition:

```
valuation [[0]] = 0
valuation [[1]] = 1
valuation [[N 0]] = 2 * valuation N
valuation [[N 1]] = 2 * valuation N + 1
```

The valuation function's 4 equations correspond to the phrases of the (abstract) syntax for our binary language.

Look at evaluation sequence for "110":

```
valuation[[110]]  
= 2*valuation[[11]]  
= 2* ( 2*valuation[[1]]+1 )  
= 2* ( 2*1+1 )  
= 6
```

Calculator Example

Abstract Syntax

```
Command -> Expression =  
  
Expression -> Numeral  
          -> Expression + Expression  
          -> Expression - Expression  
          -> Expression * Expression
```

Support Functions:

```
sum:           Integer x Integer -> Integer  
difference:   Integer x Integer -> Integer  
product:      Integer x Integer -> Integer
```

Semantic Functions:

```
exec:          Command -> Integer  
eval:          Expression -> Integer  
valuation:     Numeral -> Natural
```

Calculator Example (cont)

Function Definitions:

```
exec[[ E = ]] = eval E
eval[[ N ]] = valuation N
eval[[ E1+E2 ]] = sum(eval E1,eval E2)
eval[[ E1-E2 ]] = difference(eval E1,eval E2)
eval[[ E1*E2 ]] = product(eval E1,eval E2)
```

Example

```
execute[[ 40-3*9= ]]
= eval[[ 40-3*9 ]]
= product(eval[[ 40-3]], eval[[ 9 ]])
= product(difference(eval[[40]], eval[[ 3 ]]),
           valuation[[9]]))
= product(difference(40, 3), 9)
= 333
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

5 of 45

Basic Ideas of DS

- Each phrase of the language is specified by a value in some domain. The value is the *denotation* of the phrase (or, the phrase *denotes* the value)
- For each phrase **class** (group, collection, etc.), we provide a domain D of its denotations, and introduce a *semantic function* f to map each phrase to its denotation.
Notationally, this is written:

$$f : P \rightarrow D$$

- Each semantic function is defined by a number of *semantic equations*, one for each distinct phrase in the phrase class.

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

6 of 45

Domains

Domains are “sets” of values. The following structures are often used.

- Primitive Domains
integers, characters, truth-values, enumerations, etc.
- Cartesian Product Domains
Cross product of multiple domains.

```
let pay = (rate x hours, dollars) in
  ...
let (amount,denom) = pay in
```

- Disjoint Union Domains
Elements are chosen from *either (any)* component domain, and appropriately **tagged**.

```
shape = rect( RxR ) + circle(R) + point
```

A ‘tagged’ union...

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

7 of 45

- Function Domains

Each element of the function domain $D \rightarrow D'$ is a function that maps elements of D to elements of D' .

Assume domain: *Integer* \rightarrow *Truth-Values*. Example elements are:

odd, even, positive, prime, etc.

- Sequence Domains

Generally used for mapping I/O streams.

Each element of the sequence domain D^* is a *finite* sequence of zero or more elements of D .

if $(x \in D)$ and $(s \in D^*)$ then $x \bullet s \in D^*$

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

8 of 45

Why Domains

With iterative and recursive programs, there is always the possibility that a program will not terminate (normally).

- Divide by zero

For this reason, we cannot use simple sets to represent values (or denotations). We introduce the symbol “ \perp ” to represent computations that fail to terminate normally.

`reciprocal 0 -> ⊥`

A computation that results in “ \perp ” contains less information than one that results in a value from the domain.

We define the relation “ \leq ” over the domain to establish when elements contain less information. This relation establishes a *partial order* over elements of the domain.

reflexive	$x \leq x$
symmetric	$x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y$
transitive	$x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z$

Domain Diagrams

- Primitive

$\{ \perp, f, t \}, \{ \perp, u, d \}, \{ \perp, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$

$x \leq x' \text{ iff } x = x' \text{ or } x = \perp$

- Cartesian Products

Truth-Value x Direction =

$\{ (\perp,\perp), (f,\perp), (\perp,u), (\perp,d), (t,\perp), (f,u), (f,d), (t,u), (t,d) \}$

$(x,y) \leq (x',y') \text{ iff } (x \leq x') \text{ and } (y \leq y')$

- Disjoint-Unions

Truth-Value + Direction =

$\{ \text{left } f, \text{left } t, \text{right } u, \text{right } d \}$

$\begin{array}{ll} \text{left } x \leq \text{left } x' & \text{iff } x \leq x' \\ \text{right } x \leq \text{right } x' & \text{iff } x \leq x' \\ \perp \leq z & \text{for all } z \end{array}$

The relation " \leq " allows comparison of information content between two elements. " $x \leq y$ " means that y can be obtained by adding information to ' x ' (but not *changing* that already in x).

Strict functions: $f : \perp \rightarrow \perp$

Consider:

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_1 = \{ \text{up} \rightarrow \text{false}, \text{down} \rightarrow \text{true}, \perp \rightarrow \perp \} & \lambda x. (x == \text{down}) \\ f_2 = \{ \text{up} \rightarrow \text{false}, \text{down} \rightarrow \text{false}, \perp \rightarrow \text{false} \} & \lambda x. (\text{false}) \\ f_3 = \{ \text{up} \rightarrow \text{false}, \text{down} \rightarrow \perp, \perp \rightarrow \text{true} \} & \\ f_4 = \{ \text{up} \rightarrow \text{true}, \text{down} \rightarrow \text{true}, \perp \rightarrow \text{false} \} & \end{array}$$

F3: $(\perp \leq \text{down})$, yet $(f_3 \text{ down}) \leq (f_3 \perp)$

F4: can establish if computation terminates.

monotonic: $(\forall x, x') (x \leq x') \Rightarrow (fx \leq fx')$

The more information we add to an argument, the more information will be obtained by applying the function to the argument.

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

11 of 45

- function domains

All elements of function domains are monotonic.
(More information in argument, the more to be obtained by applying the function to the argument).

Given domain $D = A \rightarrow B$,

$$\forall (f, f' \in D) f \leq f' \text{ iff } (\forall x \in A) fx \leq f' x$$

Consider domain *Direction* \rightarrow *Truth-Value*

$$\begin{aligned} \{ & \{u \rightarrow f, d \rightarrow f, \perp \rightarrow f\}, \{u \rightarrow t, d \rightarrow t, \perp \rightarrow t\}, \\ & \{u \rightarrow f, d \rightarrow f\}, \{u \rightarrow f, d \rightarrow t\}, \{u \rightarrow t, d \rightarrow f\}, \{u \rightarrow t, d \rightarrow t\}, \\ & \{u \rightarrow f\}, \{d \rightarrow f\}, \{d \rightarrow t\}, \{u \rightarrow t\}, \\ & \{\} \} \end{aligned}$$

(unspecified entries go to " \perp ")

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

12 of 45

A Model for Storage

A location in storage is either

- unused
- *undefined*
- or holds a value (storable values)

Given domains *Location*, *Storables* and a *Store*, use functions:

- empty-store: Store
- allocate: Store -> Store x Location
- deallocate: Store x Location -> Store
- update: Store x Location x Storable -> Store
- fetch: Store x Location -> Storable

allocate S = (S', L) where L is *unused* in S but *undefined* in S'

deallocate(allocate store) = store

fetch(update(store, location, storables), location) = storables

Essentially, Store is the domain:

Store = Location → (stored Storable + *undefined* + *unused*)

Define auxiliary functions:

empty-store = $\lambda \text{loc}. \text{unused}$

allocate store =

let loc = get-unused-loc(store) **in** (store[$\text{loc} \rightarrow \text{undefined}$], loc)

deallocate(store, location) = store[location → *unused*]

update(store, loc, storables) = store[$\text{loc} \rightarrow \text{stored storables}$]

fetch(store, location) =

let stored-value(*stored storables*) = storables

stored-value(*undefined*) = fail

stored-value(*unused*) = fail

in

stored-value(store (location))

Example: Command Language

```
Command -> Expression =
    -> Expression = Register
    -> Command Command
Expression -> Numeral
    -> Expression + Expression
    -> Expression - Expression
    -> Expression * Expression
    -> Register
Register -> X
    -> Y

Storable = Integer
Location = { loc1, loc2 }

eval: Expression -> (Store -> Integer)
exec: Command -> (Store -> Store x Integer)
loc: Register -> Location
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

15 of 45

```
eval[[ N ]] sto = valuation N
eval[[ E1+E2 ]] sto =
    sum(eval E1 sto, eval E2 sto)
eval[[ Register ]] sto = fetch( sto, loc R )
```

Similarly for other operators...

```
exec[[ E = ]] sto =
    let int = eval E sto in (sto, int)

exec[[ E = R ]] sto =
    let int = eval E sto in
    let sto' = update( sto, loc R, int) in
        (sto', int)

exec[[ C1 C2 ]] sto =
    let (sto', int) = exec C1 sto in
    exec C2 sto'

loc[[ X ]] = loc1
loc[[ Y ]] = loc2
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

16 of 45

```

exec [[ 4 * 3 = X X + 5 = ]] empty-store
= let (sto1, int) = exec[[ 4*3 = X ]] empty-store in
  exec [[ X + 5 = ]] sto1
= let (sto1, int1) =
  let int2
    = mult( eval 4 empty-store, eval 3 empty-store) in
  let sto2 = update( empty-store, loc X, int2 ) in
    (sto2, int2)
in
  exec [[ X + 5 = ]] sto1
= let (sto1, int1) =
  let int2 = mult( 4, 3 ) in
  let sto2 = update( empty-store, loc X, int2 ) in
    (sto2, int2)
in
  exec [[ X + 5 = ]] sto1
= let (sto1, int1) =
  let sto2 = update(  $\lambda$ loc.unused, loc1, 12 ) in (sto2, 12)
in exec [[ X + 5 = ]] sto1

```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

17 of 45

```

= let (sto1, int1)
  = let sto2 =  $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12] in (sto2, 12)
in exec [[ X + 5 = ]] sto1
= exec [[ X + 5 = ]]  $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12]
= let int = eval[[ X + 5 = ]] ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12])
in ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12], int)
= let int = sum( eval X ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12]),
  eval 5 ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12]))
in ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12], int)
= let int = sum( eval X ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12]), 5 )
in ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12], int)
= let int = sum(fetch(( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12]), loc[[X]]), 5 )
in ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12], int)
= let int = sum(fetch(( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12]), loc1), 5 )
in ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12], int)
= let int = sum(12, 5 )
in ( $\lambda$ loc.unused [loc1  $\rightarrow$  12], int)

```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

18 of 45

A Model for Environments

This is similar to our model for storage, except that we're *binding* Identifiers (generally) to bindable values. Assume the domains *Identifier*, *Bindable* and *Environ*. and functions:

<i>empty</i> :	Environ
<i>bind</i> :	Identifier x Bindable \rightarrow Environ
<i>overlay</i> :	Environ x Environ \rightarrow Environ
<i>find</i> :	Environ x Identifier \rightarrow Bindable

Bind creates the singleton environment.

Overlay combines environments (but overides duplicate mappings).

```
env1 = overlay( bind( i, 1), bind( j, 2 ))
env2 = overlay( bind( j, 3), bind( k, 4 ))
overlay( env2, env1 ) = { i ->1, j->3, k->4 }.
```

Given the defintion for *Environ* as:

$\text{Environ} = \text{Identifier} \rightarrow (\text{bound Bindable} + \text{unbound})$

we can define auxilary functions:

$\text{empty-environ} = \lambda \text{loc}. \text{unbound}$
 $\text{bind}(I, \text{bindable}) = \lambda I'. \text{if } I' = I \text{ then bound bindable else unbound}$

Recall that we're defining a new environment

$\text{overlay}(\text{env}', \text{env}) = \lambda I. \text{if } \text{env}'(I) \neq \text{unbound} \text{ then } \text{env}'(I) \text{ else } \text{env}(I)$
 $\text{find}(\text{env}, I) = \text{let } \text{bound-value}(\text{bound bindable}) = \text{bindable}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{bound-value}(\text{unbound}) = \text{fail}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{in}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{bound-value}(\text{env}(I))$

Example: Declaration Language

```
Expression -> Numeral
             -> Expression + Expression
             -> ...
             -> Identifier
             -> let Declaration in Expression

Declaration -> val Identifier = Expression
```

Define Domain

```
Bindable = Integer
```

And Denotations:

```
evaluate: Expression -> (Environ -> Integer)
elaborate: Declaration -> (Environ -> Environ)
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

21 of 45

```
evaluate[[ N ]] env = valuation N
evaluate[[ E1+E2 ]] env =
    sum(evaluate E1 env, evaluate E2 env)
```

Similarly for other operators...

```
evaluate[[ I ]] env = find( env, I )
evaluate[[ let D in E ]] env =
    let env' = elaborate D env in
    evaluate E (overlay(env', env))
```

```
elaborate[[ val I = E ]] env =
    bind( I, evaluate E env )
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

22 of 45

Assume $\text{env}_1 = \{ m \rightarrow 10 \}$

```
eval [[ let val n = m + 5 in m + n ]] env1
= let env2 = elaborate[[ val n = m + 5 ]] env1 in
  eval [[m+n]] overlay(env2,env1)
= let env2 = bind(n, eval[[ m+ 5 ]] env1) in
  eval [[m+n]] overlay(env2,env1)
= let env2 = bind(n, sum( eval[[ m ]]env1, eval[[5]] env1 )) in
  eval [[m+n]] overlay(env2,env1)
= let env2 = bind(n, sum( find( env1, m), 5 )) in
  eval [[m+n]] overlay(env2,env1)
= let env2 = bind(n, sum( 10, 5 )) in
  eval [[m+n]] overlay(env2,env1)
= let env2 = bind(n, 15) in
  eval [[m+n]] overlay(env2,env1)
= eval [[m+n]] { m->10, n->15 }
= sum(eval[[m]]{m->10, n->15}, eval[[n]]{m->10, n->15})
= sum(find({m->10, n->15}, m), find({m->10, n->15}, n))
= sum( 10, 15 )
= 25
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

23 of 45

A Simple Imperative Language

```
Command -> skip
      -> Identifier := Expr
      -> let Declaration in Command
      -> Command ; Command
      -> if Expr then Command else Command
      -> while Expr do Command
Expr -> Numeral
      -> false | true
      -> Identifier
      -> Expr + Expr
      -> Expr < Expr
Declaration -> const Identifier ~ Expr
              -> var Identifier : Type
Type -> bool
      -> int
```

Identifiers must be used in scope
Expressions are typed

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

24 of 45

Define Domains

Value = *truth-value* Truth-Value + *integer* Integer

Storable = Value

Bindable = *value* Value + *variable* Location

And Denotations:

exec: Command -> (Environ -> Store -> Store)

eval: Expression -> (Environ -> Store -> Value)

elab: Declaration -> (Environ -> Store -> Environ x Store)

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

25 of 45

Commands

```
exec[[ skip ]] env sto = sto

exec[[ I := E ]] env sto =
  let val = eval E env sto in
  let variable loc = find( env, I ) in
    update( sto, loc, val )

exec[[ let D in C ]] env sto =
  let (env', sto') = elaborate D env sto in
  execute C (overlay (env', env)) sto'

need sto', since it might allocate storage

exec[[ C1 ; C2 ]] env sto =
  exec C2 env (exec C1 env sto)

exec[[ if E then C1 else C2 ]] env sto =
  if eval E env sto = truth-value true
    then exec C1 env sto
  else exec C2 env sto
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

26 of 45

```

exec[[ while E do C ]] =
  let executeWhile env sto =
    if eval E env sto = truth-value true
      then executeWhile env
            (execute C env sto)
    else sto
  in
  executeWhile

```

Note that `executeWhile` is recursively defined.

Expressions

```

eval[[ N ]] env sto = integer(valuation N)
eval[[ false ]] env sto = truth-value false
eval[[ I ]] env sto =
  let coerce( sto, value val ) = val
      coerce( sto, variable loc ) =
        fetch( sto, loc )
  in coerce( sto, I )

eval[[ E1 + E2 ]] env sto =
  let integer int1 = eval E1 env sto in
  let integer int2 = eval E2 env sto in
  integer( sum( int1, int2 ) )

eval[[ E1 < E2 ]] env sto =
  let integer int1 = eval E1 env sto in
  let integer int2 = eval E2 env sto in
  truth-value( less( int1, int2 ) )

```

Elaborations

Recall that the domain for elaborations is:

elab: Declaration -> (Environ-> Store -> Environ x Store)

```
elab[[ const I ~ E ]] env sto =
  let val = eval E env sto in
    (bind( I, value val), sto)
```

In this case, only the environment has been modified

```
elab[[ var I : T ]] env sto =
  let (sto', loc) = allocate sto in
    (bind( I, variable loc), sto')
```

In this case, both the environment and the storage have been changed.

Abstractions

An *abstraction* is a value that embodies a computation. One *calls* the abstraction to perform the computation, but only the final result is visible (not the method employed).

Extend the expression abstract syntax to add functions of 1 parameter:

```
Expr -> ...
      -> Identifier ( Actual-Parameter )

Decl -> ...
      -> fun Identifier ( Formal-Parameter )
            = Expr

Formal-Parameter -> Identifier : Type
Actual-Parameter -> Expression
```

Define Domains

Argument = Integer
Function = Argument -> Integer

Only integers as parameters, only integers for results...

Bindable = *integer* Integer + *function* Function

Both integers and function abstractions are bindable.

eval: Expression -> (Environ -> Integer)
elab: Declaration -> (Environ -> Environ)

Elaborating a formal param binds the identifier to the argument:

bindParam: Formal-Parameter -> (Argument -> Environ)

Actual arguments are evaluated like expressions

giveArg: Actual-Parameter -> (Environ -> Argument)

The semantic functions for these are:

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

31 of 45

```
bindParam[[ Ident : Type ]] arg
  = bind( Ident, arg )

giveArg[[ E ]] env = eval E env
```

The equation for the function call is:

```
eval[[ Ident( AP )]] env
  = let function f = find( env, Ident ) in
    let arg = giveArg AP env in
      f arg
```

That is, the function call is no more than applying the argument to the function as defined in the current environment. The function definition is:

```
elab[[ fun I ( FP ) = E ]] env
  = let f arg =
    let parEnv = bindParam FP arg in
      eval E (overlay( parEnv, env ))
    in bind( I, function f )
```

This is a *static* binding (environment is bound at declaration).

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

32 of 45

Procedures

In general, functions and procedures can modify the external storage. Essentially, store is an additional argument:

Procedure = Argument -> Store -> Store

```
elab[[ proc id( FP ) ~ C ]] env sto =
  let proc arg sto' =
    let env' = bindParam FP arg in
      execute C (overlay( env', env )) sto
  in
  (bind( id, procedure proc ), sto )

execute[[ id( AP ) ]] env sto =
  let procedure proc = find( env, id ) in
  let arg = giveArg AP env sto in
  proc arg sto
```

Parameters

We modelled only simple (value) type parameters. Most languages support more complex passing schema.

```
formalParam  -> const id : type
              -> var id : type

actualParam  -> expr
              -> var id
```

Recall that this is *abstract* syntax. Annotating the actual parameter with “var” expresses the semantics of the call directly.

Now, *giveArg*: *actualParam* -> (*Environ* -> *Store* -> *Argument*)

```
giveArg[[ E ]] env sto = value(eval E env sto)
giveArg[[ var id ]] env sto =
  let variable loc = find( env, id ) in
  variable loc
```

The latter definition gives us the *location* of the parameter (rather than simply evaluating it).

Copy-In, Copy-Out Parameters

```
copyIn: FormalParm -> (argument -> store -> environ x store)
copyOut:
    FormalParam -> (Environ -> argument -> store -> store)

copyIn[[ value id:t ]] (value val) sto =
    let (sto', local) = allocate sto in
        (bind(id, variable local),
         update( sto', local, val ))

copyIn[[ result id:t ]] (variable loc) sto =
    let (sto', local) = allocate sto in
        (bind(id, variable local), sto' )

copyOut[[ value id:t]] env(value val) sto = sto
copyOut[[result id:t]] env(variable loc) sto =
    let variable local = find( env, id ) in
        update( sto, loc, fetch( sto, local ))
```

Finally, the procedure elaboration:

```
elab[[ proc id( FP ) ~ C ]] env sto =
    let proc arg sto' =
        let (parenv, sto'') =
            = copyIn FP arg sto' in
        let sto''' =
            = exec C (overlay(parenv,env)) sto''
        in copyOut FP parenv arg sto'''
    in
        (bind( id, procedure proc ), sto )
```

note that 'C' will not be executed until procedure invocation.

Composite Types

Primary issue is whether fields of the composite variable can be selectively updated? If *not*, we have a simpler system.

Assume language capabilities:

```
const  z ~ (true, 0);
var   p,q : (bool, int);
...
p := z;
q := (false, snd p + 1);
```

First try ... composite pairs

```
expr  -> ...
      -> ( expr, expr )
      -> fst expr
      -> snd expr
type  -> bool
      -> int
      -> ( type, type )
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

37 of 45

Add a domain to model the paired value And update the domain of values:

Pair-Value = Value x Value
Value = *truthValue* Truth-Value + *integer* Integer +
pairValue Pair-Value
Storable = Value

We need to update the eval function to add the new construct:

```
eval[[ ( E1, E2 ) ]] env sto
  = let val1 = eval E1 env sto in
    let val2 = eval E2 env sto in
      pair-value (val1, val2)
```

And add the extraction methods:

```
eval[[ fst E ]] env sto =
  let pairValue(v1,v2)=eval E env sto in v1
eval[[ snd E ]] env sto =
  let pairValue(v1,v2)=eval E env sto in v2
```

Denotational Semantics

Lecture 3

EECS 498 Winter 2000

38 of 45

Second Try ... Selective Updates

First, augment the abstract grammar:

```
command -> ...
    -> V-name := expr

expr -> ...
    -> V-name
    -> ( expr, expr )

V-name -> Identifier
    -> fst V-name
    -> snd V-name

type -> bool
    -> int
    -> ( type, type )
```

Vname can be a simple identifier, or reference to either of the fields of the pair.

Domains:

Pair-Value = Value x Value
Value = *truthValue* Truth-Value + *integer* Integer +
pairValue Pair-Value

Pair-Variable= Variable x Variable

However, pairs are no longer storable, so:

Storable = *truthValue* Truth-Value + *integer* Integer
Variable = *primitive* Location + *pairVariable* PairVariable

The language allows for fetch/store of paired variables, so we need to incorporate that. We add the following access functions:

fetchVar: Store x Variable -> Value
updateVar: Store x Variable x Value -> Store

With the definitions:

```
fetchVar( sto, primitive loc ) = fetch( sto, loc )
fetchVar( sto, pairVariable( var1, var2 ) ) =
    pairValue( fetchVar( sto, var1 ), fetchVar( sto, var2 ) )

updateVar( sto, primitive loc, storable )
    = update( sto, loc, storable )
updateVar( sto, pairVariable( var1, var2 ), pairValue( val1, val2 ) )
    = let sto' = updateVar( sto, var1, val1 )
      in updateVar( sto', var2, val2 )
```

Now, consider:

```
execute[ [ V := E ] ] env sto
    = let val = eval E env sto in
        let variable var = identify V env in
            updateVar( sto var, val )
```

Simple. except we need to define *identify*. And we need to allocate storage for variables.

ValueOrVariable = *value* Value + *variable* Variable

```
identify: V-name -> (Environ -> ValueOrVariable)

identify[ [ I ] ] env = find( env, I )

identify[ [ fst v ] ] env =
    let
        first( value( pairValue( v1, v2 ) ) )
            = value v1
        first( variable( pairVariable( w1, w2 ) ) )
            = variable w1
    in first( identify v env )
```

First is a local function that matches one of the two forms of a *ValueOrVariable*, and returns that form (properly tagged).

The form for *identify*[[**snd** v]] is similarly defined.

Finally, we do the storage allocation

Let the domain be:

Allocator = Store -> Store x Variable

And the semantic function:

allocateVar: Type -> Allocator

This fits into the existing elaboration functions:

```
elab[[ var id : T ]] env sto
= let (sto', var) = allocateVar T sto in
  (bind( I, var ), sto')

allocateVar[[ bool ]] sto
= let (sto', loc) = allocate sto in
  (sto', primitive loc)

allocateVar[[ (T1, T2) ]] sto =
let (sto', var1) = allocateVar T1 sto in
let (sto'', var2) = allocateVar T2 sto in
  (sto'', pairVariable (var1, var2))
```

Failure

In direct semantics, failure clauses must be incorporated into the semantic equations:

```
sum: Integer x Integer -> Integer
sum( int1, int2 )
= if abs( int1 + int2 ) <= maxint
  then int1 + int2
  else fail
sum( fail, int ) = fail
sum( int, fail ) = fail
sum( fail, fail ) = fail
```

Consider the equations:

```
exec[[ I := E ]] env sto =
  let val = eval E env sto in
  let variable loc = find( env I ) in
    update( sto, loc, val )
```

If “E” evaluates to *fail*, then *update* will yield *fail*, and the entire clause will yield *fail*.

```
exec[[ C1; C2 ]] env sto =
  exec C2 env (exec C1 env sto)
```

If “c₁” *fails*, then this is applied to the execution of “c₂”, which will also fail.