AP[®] ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING GUIDELINES (Form B)

Question 1

(Edward Field's "Icarus")

The score reflects the quality of the essay as a whole—its content, its style, its mechanics. Students are rewarded for what they do well. The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by 1 point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These well-conceived essays offer a persuasive analysis of the language the poet uses to adapt the Icarus myth to a contemporary setting. Although these essays offer a range of interpretations and/or address different literary techniques (tone, point of view, imagery, diction, and structure), they provide convincing readings of how these techniques contribute to the meaning of the poem. They demonstrate consistent control over the elements of effective composition, including the language unique to the analysis of poetry. Their textual references are apt and specific. Although these essays may not be error-free, the writing is clear and sophisticated. The analysis is perceptive and insightful and, in the case of essays that earn 9 points, especially persuasive.
- 7-6 These competent essays offer a reasonable analysis of the language the poet uses to adapt the Icarus myth for a contemporary audience. They demonstrate an ability to express ideas clearly with references to the text, although they do not exhibit the same level of effective writing as essays scored in the 9–8 range. They are less thorough or less precise in their discussion of how literary techniques contribute to the meaning of the poem, and their analysis of the poem is less convincing. While essays scored in the 7–6 range are generally well written, those scored a 7 demonstrate more sophistication in both substance and style.
- 5 These essays respond to the assigned task with a plausible reading of the poem, but they tend to be superficial in their understanding of how the poet uses language to adapt the Icarus myth. Their analysis of the poem may be vague, formulaic, or inadequately supported by references to the text. There may be minor misinterpretations of the poem. These essays demonstrate some control of language, but the writing may be marred by surface errors. They are not as well organized or developed as are essays scored in the 7–6 range.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of Field's poem. The analysis may be partial, unconvincing, or irrelevant. Evidence from the poem may be slight or misconstrued, or the essay may rely on paraphrase only. The writing often demonstrates a lack of control over the conventions of composition. The ideas may be inadequately developed, there may be an accumulation of errors, or the argument may be unclear, inconsistent, or repetitive. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreadings and/or inept writing.
- 2-1 These essays compound the weaknesses of the essays scored in the 4–3 range. Although some attempt has been made to respond to the prompt, assertions are presented with little clarity, organization, or support from the poem. These essays may contain serious errors in grammar and mechanics. They may offer a complete misreading or be unacceptably brief. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the poem.
- **0** These essays do no more than make reference to the task.
- These essays are either blank or completely off topic.

Q1

1A

1 of 2

"Icorus", > peen by Edward Field, > mythological In character is placed in the builting and exympronic reshty modern world. Figurative language, irony, SYATEX perspecties Fields's relocation of Lesins nho are essential elements of in su sliensting those techniques is immersed unrelentiv Jud century setting.

immediately evident of Teams's Irony and contrast are Millonnium of Re Common to. Beginning in the second unfolds ston depicting the setting and its inhabitants, the speaker highlig some oxymorons in arrent behavior. Witnesses to (SMS'S > "gang wor", > cruel satire of urbon life and ironal oft lun roles in just one line. Furthermore, Icoms's reversion ot is filed and forgotten 's, one element station police a purpose of the other. In addition to this prochi motor shope of Icrus's originary setting mailen 10 ->ppe>r to contrast toles were not written but sing, and Mey costainly usen't Greece_ locking mention to Re quotogonist Thus, Though forgotten. strizz ubty implies immediate differences between home sud his new one.

Stouzz begins with yet mother juxtoposition of She second original user the modified; while the foolish Terrus would have been ne in his fines, he becomes nice Mr the speaker kegingto describe Icoms directly modernity. is made; Icans's concepted 14:+ orms trends modern allusion they are not the "arms" Find out Hough that used which ne soon

> © 2009 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.com.

 \geq

Mose with which he stempted Hight. Icoars's going wors but perceive his sydness at he failure of his deed, neighbors connot Re gentle time (and sir) traveler does not 6 May <u>L na</u> by revealing the truths. In this case, netonymic trout (hem by the specther to symbolize the suburbou likestyle 3 used of the people who surround moralistic" stitude ICXNS. In resting the final analogies and contrasts between Re present Icomes, he specher drong into the troqic hero post nd at the protogonist and uses it in a rhetorical question at the side second stanza. Unfortunately for Ivrus, of it ne seens Le stature of to his desth but to the middling not nerely tolented ; he cannot find the serenity in a environment [loins's reighors) connot reconcile with ind gement uner c personal participating in committees and riding schines Ne ATTP Re first the trans Using maphora, Third stan 22 lines ne Icons's longing nightly Tisqie deporture tor 1457> convey 4 <u>باد</u> Hight. Loching Re success reflection and daily attempts hod 27 he 4 cost him Icous comes conclusion had V Nough 1 past his role would have been much more satistacto hrd not dround.

Q1

2052

employs techniques of content (contrast and irony) Field 212 of how the content is shaped (mophors and figurative longuage peticly reflections person>1 doing convers 6014 shapping this Lesius Cetting effective change world 01 1224 his prot 240 Nist desth myth more trag pr had been.

> © 2009 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.com.

"Icarus by Edward Field, written based ta 15 OP classic myth Daedalus EF? icanus the and Øn it portravs In α CONTEMPONO contemporary but specific details Struct uses Field and nq and dich adapt this him LAPPE tette mithical tohelp OP tale tragedy. to modern α E Paras de 11 relevant to day's society. fo Story Khe_ - hanges The much of the Though and nlot detail by same, The the used characters stav Fo reader experience the the Field allows Refevence's Revel OF the myth. modern adaptation commuter "trains Police gangs Tortalses and to of the reader the setting Chow the, poom clear non-existent the at Things those were as Fact told in. The that myth is Icanis the time Л tends" to his house rents and ICarns GAO а feel Icanis more personal garden gives a position oP from him down the which drags OF details Shaws clearly hero. Fields Use fallen world. living portrays learns in a modern structure The OP The poe M used. by 15 effects. On Field of The for mixture one a

1B

1 OF 3

Q1

© 2009 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.com.

1.5
$\frac{1}{2}$ Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 2 of on this page as it is designated in the exam.
hand, the use of a poem mimics the way stories
were told in ancient greece, which could serve as
a similarity between "Icarus" and the Icarus myth.
On the other hand, the poem is split into four
stanzas each serving a different purpose lack of
a rhyme scheme and pattern contrasts the
way in which Ancient Greek poets would have
delivered told the Icarys myth. Through the lack
of a pattern and rhyme sheme field is telling
the Icarus myth in an ancient writing form, poetry,
but with a modern way twist to it, the the lack of
a pyme scheme. In this way, the structure of
the poem reflects the way the teamy's Icarus myth
is told.

1 D

3

also cleverly Field Ø diction USES to portray reader that the Ís poem contemporary the a to of the Icarus myth. The register adaptation On of whole VAR / contemporan the makes use the 11 like phrases. Words serves freing words and und ŋ ι giving merely Freid reader show how í٢ the that sense the poem ís recent. 百百 he the heroic Monumental fails to sound and poem do. The classic fales of myth greek Usually are like that " " those instead of like to words use and

© 2009 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.com. >

R Q1 Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 3&3 on this page as it is designated in the exam. OR thee thy and and thee diction further enhance the contemporary that Field employs Edward Field's contemporary de la of adaptation the Icarys myth done 15 That it is modern ver way Such a OP lure the areek integrity and the -Aist OP detail's did Field's nor that use diction times & modern Ð and Greek in settingpood contemporary the the clarifu modern feel the ctructure adds while Λ old storytelling method are est an 10 An or 1carus The his Adaptation Icams Myth In 1h

Quertion + 1

10F2

I carus a poem written by Edward Field is a clever ricce of
work in which the author takes a character from the past and
brings him into the feality we live in today. The outhor uses
Certain Eschart to envery a pleasant idea.
to white his poetry offices his ideas through the poetre.
Zaward Field, even from the begin ing of the room gives
shows the freuder what exactly is the freuder some uncertainty
of what is going on but as seen on line 3 the intrance of the hot
-Pulice gives the feader a chie that the poem will be set in the
prevent. The author Explains and sets up the senerib as if it
were a are in which detectives and police are thing to find
the solution to I carus's death but soon come to find out that
the author changer the Ending of the actual stopy the where install
of t capus just diping duing he instead swims away (ine 7),
The author tarks even further when in lines 10-11 the he
gives the impression of Icurus as a working class make in a
modern society with his glay suit and tending of the gardens.
one of the literary devices that was shown through at
the this poem was foreshadowing. This device was used quite
a bit, through In lines 21-25 the author fells the audience
that Icatus beaks wounds from his unsuccessful landing
from Earlier and that the story barrenings from the past still
havna and affect him now, Atsonta " And had the told from they
would have answered with a shocked in comprehending State?" Once again
The author less the peoder know of part incident -through

()1 Write in the box the number of the question you are answering of on this page as it is designated in the exam. where he flew in the son and the wax from the wrings tiso boet melted even affet the father paedeless had told him wa(not to. The Events in this poem seens to be comewhat toold from an omnision, varfatof. The author gives the feater a taste of such in the beginning heret of the poem lines 5-9 the author tells about the police trying to find 440 the cause of death while later on the author moves or changes perspectives and focuses inon I carus tending the garden. These narrorator is omnivitent because of the fact that ne/she seems to know everything that garde we see is going on from the police to J Carrus's how the author tells the story.

AP[®] ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING COMMENTARY (Form B)

Question 1

Sample: 1A Score: 9

This essay is not very long, but it is economical in its expression and remarkably sophisticated and insightful in its analysis. It is especially persuasive in explaining how figurative language, metonymy, irony, and contrast contribute to meaning in Edward Field's poem. It eloquently introduces the general conceit of the poem at the outset—"a mythological character is placed in the bustling and oxymoronic reality of the modern world"—and then fluidly moves into an analysis of how Icarus is reduced by the banality of his modern circumstances: "a metonymic 'front yards' is used by the speaker to symbolize the suburban lifestyle and 'moralistic' attitude of the people who surround Icarus." It astutely notices the anaphoric repetition in the first two lines of the third stanza that convey "Icarus's longing for tragic departure, juxtaposing nightly reflection and daily attempts at flight." The essay neatly wraps up its analysis by concluding that "Field employs techniques of content (contrast and irony) and of how the content is shaped (anaphora and figurative language)" to convey "both poetically personal reflections and an effective change of Icarus's setting, shaping this work as an even more tragic story for the protagonist than his death in myth had been."

Sample: 1B Score: 5

Focusing on an analysis of the poem's language, this essay offers a plausible but superficial response to the prompt. In the introduction, the essay proposes to examine "specific details, structure, and diction" to show how Field adapts the myth of Daedalus to a contemporary setting. Discussing the modernizing of the ancient myth, it then highlights Field's contemporary vocabulary, noting that "Icarus 'rents' a house and 'tends' to his garden." The essay next considers the poem's structure, particularly its "lack of a rhyme scheme and pattern." But both of these discussions are perfunctory: the only conclusion the essay draws is that the poem is a twist on the original myth and so "reflects the way the Icarus myth is told." There are perceptive comments in the last third of the essay, including remarks about how Field's adaptation retains the "integrity and lure [*sic*] of the [G]reek myth." Still, on the whole, the essay is not quite as well developed or as well supported by textual analysis as higher-scoring essays tend to be.

Sample: 1C Score: 3

This essay is marred by surface-level errors and is too underdeveloped to rise into the upper half. It occasionally focuses on irrelevant notions such as "uncertainty" or features such as "foreshadowing." These divert attention from the important aspects of literary devices discussed by the more proficient students. The essay notes that Field changes the outcome of Icarus's story in order to adapt it to a contemporary setting without exploring the consequences of this change. And it remarks that the poet "in lines 10-11 . . . gives the impression of Icarus as a working class male in a modern society with his gray suit and tending of the gardens" without developing what is accomplished by such an impression. Indeed, the essay weakens as it comes to its end.