AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2015 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2

(Louise Erdrich, The Beet Queen)

The score should reflect the quality of the essay as a whole — its content, style, and mechanics. **Reward the students for what they do well.** The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by one point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These essays offer a persuasive analysis of how Erdrich depicts the impact of the environment on the two children through such literary devices as tone, imagery, selection of detail, and point of view. The essays make a strong case for their interpretation of the impact of the environment on the two children. They may consider a variety of literary devices, and they engage the text through apt and specific references. Although these essays may not be error-free, their perceptive analysis is apparent in writing that is clear and effectively organized. Essays scored a 9 reveal more sophisticated analysis and more effective control of language than do essays scored an 8.
- **7–6** These essays offer a reasonable analysis of how Erdrich depicts the impact of the environment on the two children. The writers provide a sustained, competent reading of the passage, with attention to such literary devices as tone, imagery, selection of detail, and point of view. Although these essays may not be error-free and are less perceptive or less convincing than 9–8 essays, the ideas are presented with clarity and control and refer to the text for support. Essays scored a 7 present better developed analysis and more consistent command of the elements of effective composition than do essays scored a 6.
- These essays respond to the assigned task with a plausible reading of the passage, but they tend to be superficial or thin in their analysis of how Erdrich depicts the impact of the environment on the two children through such literary devices as tone, imagery, selection of detail, and point of view. While the essays contain some analysis of the passage, implicit or explicit, the analysis of the impact of the environment or the use of literary devices may be slight, and support from the passage may tend toward summary or paraphrase. While these essays demonstrate adequate control of language, they may be marred by surface errors. These essays are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as 7–6 essays.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of the passage. The analysis may be partial, unconvincing, or irrelevant; the essays may ignore the impact of the environment on the two children or the use of literary devices. These essays may be characterized by an unfocused or repetitive presentation of ideas, an absence of textual support, or an accumulation of errors. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreading and/or demonstrate inept writing.
- **2–1** These essays compound the weaknesses of the essays in the 4–3 range. They may persistently misread the passage or be unacceptably brief. They may contain pervasive errors that interfere with understanding. Although some attempt has been made to respond to the prompt, the ideas are presented with little clarity, organization, or support from the passage. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the passage.
- **0** These essays give a response that is completely off topic or inadequate; there may be some mark or a drawing or a brief reference to the task.
- These essays are entirely blank.

Endrich's emphasis co

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.	2 2	+ C 1
Rother than strying the euronoment?	marets him	547
emotionly though the duth of all		
flees. Though End with 5 black time, the he	actes anvicana	
imports the children with extreme evant		
Surranding and evironment im par		
Erdrich 3 "The Bost Queen", her now a		
Each as imaging coclection of detail	/	
present a deex pronounced that i		
white m with a feeling of hepolas ress.	V	
#		
	. *	
	<u> </u>	
	×	
·		

Karl Mary Adar , although siblings, are and very different people. Mary is a very simple dispassionate person, while Karl is easily swaved and distracted by things of nature. Lauise Endich devices such as tone, imagery, detail, narrator's objective point of view to contrast the siblings in their new environment. Mary Adare's practical and ordinary personality of the town they arrive match the formulaic model Erdich uses colloquial language to convey simplistic nature of Argus. " Between the buildings there was only bare horizon for her to see, and from time to time There is irony in that although "times were generally much better in Eastern Dakota than in there is still a sense of decay in the gray most places," houses and the barren town. Mary is unfazed by the town. Mary focuses on whatever task is at hand and pays no mind to things that may distract her. Mary Seem to care about the monotonous similarity in the town, while her brother despite the cold and exhaustion of their journey, clings to the first beautiful thing he sees. Erdich was ordinary detail paired with vivid imagery to show the children's reactions. The reader imagine the setting through the explicit detail given. "The tree drew him with its delicate perfume." The personification

of the tree shows the tree and Karl's singularity.
"Many trudged solidly forward, hardly glancing at it,
but Karl stopped " to look at the " one tree,
weak, a scratch of light against the gray of
evenything else, tossed in a film of blossoms." The
two different paths taken regarding the tree show the
stark contrast in Karl and Many's personalities.
The gray town of Argus happily welcomed
Hay into its noutine lifestyle, while it drove
Karl away back to the train. Argus is familiar
with gaining and losing things quickly due to the
rail road. Once the freezing children arrive in the
town, one wincides with the mundane reality of the
town, while the other is scared away.

From this exert, the impact on the two children is and evident based on the way they act, the imagery, the children are clearly affected by the bad times they've endured from where they came.

The hids act strangely numb to the world's decrepted nature,

The hids act strangely numb to the world's decrepted nature, most likely returning to their hardships back home. They don't seem to notice all the dirty and rusty places theyrewalking Part, and don't seem to care all that much about scraping themselver coming out of the box car.

imagery is also evident in shaving dream unappearing ours overuse of the color grey. The greatest example of showing the impact of their environment would becomes mesmenized by the dream, and also enduring what grey and equivalent life, the tree full of life and war. him instantly playing to to the previous impact of The selection of details are also useful to in showing how much tree had on Karl. The excipt leaves out details up until the true. The true is the one fleasant about their trips. Even thoughthe thee anything like it in so was most likely the reason for xcar. The environment plays a large role in creates the characters' motivations, and their emotions

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.	
perall has a large	impact on the children. 201
	1
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
·	
1 1000	
*	
<u>*</u>	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
¥ , ¥	

AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2015 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2

Overview

Students were asked to read carefully an early passage from Louise Erdrich's 1986 novel *The Beet Queen* and to write a well-developed essay analyzing how devices such as tone, imagery, selection of detail, and point of view aided Erdrich in depicting the impact of the environment on the two children.

In the free-response prose question, students were presented with the challenge of analyzing how the author employed literary devices to introduce and establish characters. In the case of the children, Karl and Mary, though they are relatively young (14 and 11, respectively), complexities of character are already discernible through their varied responses to their circumstances and surroundings. Many students could quickly grasp these complexities and could analyze the presentation of the characters through apt discussions of suggested devices, though many ventured beyond the list to offer their own take on devices at work in the passage. In sum, the prose question was designed to assess students' ability to read closely, to extract pertinent details, and to write well about the passage within the context of the prompt.

Sample: 2A Score: 7

This competent upper-half essay focuses from the outset on the bleakness and uniformity of the environment. The essay initially seems to lack an awareness that the environment affects Karl and Mary differently, e.g., "the hopelessness and lack of beauty impacts [sic] the children negatively towards their environment." However, the essay goes on to reference details such as the blossoms on the tree to offer the insight that "[t]he tree, a part of the environment [sic], brings hope and euphoria to Karl, impacting him emotionally." The essay develops this idea further and sustains it, suggesting that "[a]s Karl is taken in by the sudden hope of the environment, he is suddenly about to be ripped away from it. Karl attempts to take the hope with him." The essay perceptively characterizes Karl's behavior as irrational and desperate. Although this essay is not as thorough in its analysis as one would expect to see in the very top range on the scoring guide, or as comprehensive in identifying and analyzing literary devices that show the different responses of the two children to their environment, it is perceptive. While the composition contains some lapses in syntax and word choice, it does present ideas with clarity and control.

Sample: 2B Score: 5

This mid-range essay immediately establishes that Mary and Karl respond to the environment differently and swiftly characterizes the two children to show that their responses to the environment are bound up with their distinct personalities: "Mary is a very simple, dispassionate person, while Karl is easily swayed and distracted by things of nature." Although the essay's reading of the children's responses is plausible, it is oversimplified (e.g., "Mary is unfazed by the town"). The essay makes only superficial observations such as "Erdich [sic] uses colloquial language to convey the simplistic nature of Argus" without providing convincing supporting evidence, as it proceeds to summary rather than more detailed analysis of the passage. The contrast between Karl and Mary that the essay identifies in the introduction is implied rather than analyzed in detail; the essay focuses primarily on Mary. Though the writing is generally adequately controlled, it is marred in places by errors such as tense shift. The essay overall is not as well conceived or developed as an essay scored 7 or 6.

AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2015 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2 (continued)

Sample: 2C Score: 3

This brief, lower-level essay mentions literary devices such as imagery and selection of detail, but the majority of the essay simply provides plot details. The essay also persistently speculates rather than analyzes, for example when it asserts that the children "don't seem to care all that much about scraping themselves coming out of the boxcar." It makes unsupported assumptions about the situation in which the children find themselves (e.g., "[t]he tree is the one thing pleasant about their trips") and generalizes about both children while overlooking Mary's reaction altogether. The essay offers an inadequate analysis and little textual support for its insufficiently articulated claims (e.g., the environment "plays a large role in the excerpt" and "has a large impact on the children") and is expressed in language that is often uncontrolled (e.g., [t]he greatest example of showing the impact of their environment would be when Karl becomes mesmerized by the tree"). The unconvincing and unsupported analysis earned this essay a score of 3.