



## AP Biology 1999 Sample Student Responses

**The materials included in these files are intended for non-commercial use by AP teachers for course and exam preparation; permission for any other use must be sought from the Advanced Placement Program. Teachers may reproduce them, in whole or in part, in limited quantities, for face-to-face teaching purposes but may not mass distribute the materials, electronically or otherwise. These materials and any copies made of them may not be resold, and the copyright notices must be retained as they appear here. This permission does not apply to any third-party copyrights contained herein.**

These materials were produced by Educational Testing Service (ETS), which develops and administers the examinations of the Advanced Placement Program for the College Board. The College Board and Educational Testing Service (ETS) are dedicated to the principle of equal opportunity, and their programs, services, and employment policies are guided by that principle.

The College Board is a national nonprofit membership association dedicated to preparing, inspiring, and connecting students to college and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the association is composed of more than 3,900 schools, colleges, universities, and other educational organizations. Each year, the College Board serves over three million students and their parents, 22,000 high schools, and 3,500 colleges, through major programs and services in college admission, guidance, assessment, financial aid, enrollment, and teaching and learning. Among its best-known programs are the SAT<sup>®</sup>, the PSAT/NMSQT<sup>™</sup>, the Advanced Placement Program<sup>®</sup> (AP<sup>®</sup>), and Pacesetter<sup>®</sup>. The College Board is committed to the principles of equity and excellence, and that commitment is embodied in all of its programs, services, activities, and concerns.

Copyright © 2001 by College Entrance Examination Board. All rights reserved. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Entrance Examination Board.

This classification scheme would place four of the existing kingdoms into one broader category, the animalia, plantae, protista, and fungi would all be in domain Eukarya. This suggests that these four kingdoms share a universal common evolutionary trait - the use of eukaryotic cells. The other kingdom monera would be split into Domain Bacteria and Domain Archaea suggesting that there is a greater evolutionary difference between eubacteria and archaeobacteria than previously acknowledged in the classification system. Also this classification scheme includes a universal ancestor which reinforces the idea that life on earth evolved from a single origin whereas in the previous system there was no universal ancestor or evolutionary origin.

On a molecular level it makes sense to separate eubacteria from archaeobacteria. Archaeobacteria tend to survive in a greater variety of conditions than the eubacteria. For example, halophiles are ~~are~~ archaeobacteria that live in extremely salty environments where other bacteria cannot survive. However eubacteria tend to <sup>be much</sup> <sup>relied</sup> ~~be~~ ~~relied~~ on a molecular level using oxygen and water more frequently than some archaeobacteria that <sup>may</sup> live in sulfur-rich <sup>and other harsh</sup> areas. Also archaeobacteria are structurally less complex than eubacteria and are generally smaller in size. Physiologically, protists, fungi, plantae, and animalia share eukaryotic cells in common, a great evolutionary step. These cells have organelles, chromosomes with histone proteins, and a nucleus. They allow for higher organization and multicellular organisms to function through specialization. Genetically these organisms are different as well as they have much longer genetic codes in general ~~than~~ than bacteria as well as a great deal of common genetic material - DNA bound by histone proteins rather than the ring in bacteria.

The universal ancestor was prokaryotic meaning it had no organelles or nucleus and was fairly small. It was also unicellular as it was not developed enough to support multicellular specialization and function. It had a cell membrane just like its descendants that separated intracellular and extracellular material by a phospholipid ~~bilayer~~ bilayer. It was a heterotroph meaning it gained food

3.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the examination.

from outside sources such as ~~organic~~<sup>organic</sup> molecules. It did not therefore produce its own food or carry out photosynthesis.

This organisation of living things concludes that all organisms ~~are related~~ evolved from the same ancestor. In other words, all eukaryotic cells, had a similar ancestor with all prokaryotic and bacterial cells, also, this scheme concludes that archaeobacteria are more closely related to eukaryotes than to eubacteria. This scheme says that most ~~of~~ organisms, all of the eukaryotes, are closely related. Within the 5 kingdoms system, the organisms were split into 5 different categories which were not closely related.

Much evidence was used to develop this scheme. First, physiologically, the original split of the universal ancestor occurred because eubacteria did not need oxygen but the other organisms did. Eubacteria could live in extreme environments. Next, structurally the 3 domains are different. The eukaryotes all have many organelles and a membrane-bound nucleus. Both the Domain Bacteria and Domain Archaea are composed of prokaryotic cells. These cells lack a true membrane and only have one circular strand of DNA. ~~On a~~ ~~at~~ Molecular level, most of the organisms in the Domain Eukarya are larger/multi-cellular. Eubacteria and archaeobacteria are generally single-celled organisms.

The universal ancestor must have contained DNA. This DNA was made of the bases A, T, G, and C. This DNA carried the hereditary information onto the offspring. The universal ancestor had to have the ability to reproduce. Either sexually or asexually, the ancestor must have had the ability to pass on genetic information to offspring. Also, it must have had the ability to slightly change in order for all of the other organisms to evolve, the ancestor must have had the ability to mutate and then pass these changes on. The ancestor must have contained a simple type of nucleus, where the DNA is stored. It was not membrane bound. Also, it must have contained ribosomes. Ribosomes are responsible for protein synthesis and are found in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells.

This classification schema presents different ~~concl~~ conclusions by showing Eubacteria, Archaeobacteria and Eukaryotes all came from a universal ancestor. These conclusions are different because it was once thought bacteria, prokaryotes, were the single ancestor. The schema shows that Eubacteria and Archaeobacteria are not part of the same kingdom as once presented. It shows the divided separately and Archaeobacteria are <sup>more</sup> closely related to Eukaryotes. It also shows that Eukaryotes didn't evolve from bacteria, as it was once thought.

One type of evidence is structural. The Archaeobacteria and Eubacteria contain different protein coats. Another type of evidence is physiological. Archaeobacteria ~~is~~ live in more extreme climates than Eubacteria, such as volcanic or acidic environments. This is very different from how Eubacteria live. Often more Archaeobacteria tend to be chemotrophic, getting energy from molecules, than are Eubacteria.

~~The~~ Characteristics of the universal ancestor is it would be single celled heterotrophic, live in extreme conditions and