

AP[®] Computer Science A 2001 Scoring Commentary

The materials included in these files are intended for non-commercial use by AP teachers for course and exam preparation; permission for any other use must be sought from the Advanced Placement Program. Teachers may reproduce them, in whole or in part, in limited quantities, for face-to-face teaching purposes but may not mass distribute the materials, electronically or otherwise. These materials and any copies made of them may not be resold, and the copyright notices must be retained as they appear here. This permission does not apply to any third-party copyrights contained herein.

These materials were produced by Educational Testing Service (ETS), which develops and administers the examinations of the Advanced Placement Program for the College Board. The College Board and Educational Testing Service (ETS) are dedicated to the principle of equal opportunity, and their programs, services, and employment policies are guided by that principle.

The College Board is a national nonprofit membership association dedicated to preparing, inspiring, and connecting students to college and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the association is composed of more than 3,900 schools, colleges, universities, and other educational organizations. Each year, the College Board serves over three million students and their parents, 22,000 high schools, and 3,500 colleges, through major programs and services in college admission, guidance, assessment, financial aid, enrollment, and teaching and learning. Among its best-known programs are the SAT[®], the PSAT/NMSQTTM, the Advanced Placement Program[®] (AP[®]), and Pacesetter[®]. The College Board is committed to the principles of equity and excellence, and that commitment is embodied in all of its programs, services, activities, and concerns.

Copyright © 2001 by College Entrance Examination Board. All rights reserved. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Entrance Examination Board.

Question 1

Sample 1

Excellent solution (Score 9)

This student had a completely correct solution.

Sample 2

Good solution (Score 6)

This student got the full point for traversing the array in part (a) but only ½ for the attempt to reset each pump. In part (b) this student got 1 point for declaring/initializing and returning the total, 1 point for attempting to handle the "full-serve" case, 1 point for traversing the array of pumps, and ½ point for attempting to accumulate the total (notice that myBasePrice gets changed, so the pricing is correct only for the pump 0). This student lost a point for using p instead of myPumps throughout. In part (c) this student got ½ for an attempt to write out TotalSales and the 1 point for the correct call to ResetAll.

Sample 3

Poor solution (Score 3)

In part (a) this student received $\frac{1}{2}$ point for attempting to traverse the array and $\frac{1}{2}$ point for attempting to call ResetGallonsSold for each pump. The local variable p made both incorrect. In part (b) this student received $\frac{1}{2}$ point for returning the result and $\frac{1}{2}$ point for attempting to handle the "full-serve" case. In part (c) the student received $\frac{1}{2}$ point each for attempting to call TotalSales() and attempting to call ResetAll(). Although the logic is wrong, the two function calls were enough to get the two $\frac{1}{2}$ points.

Question 2

Sample 1

Excellent solution (Score 9)

Note that in part (b) the student searched for the insertion point, then shifted items, then inserted. This was a common way for students to do this problem.

Sample 2

Good solution (Score 6)

This student received 1 point for an attempt in part (a). In part (b) the four $\frac{1}{2}$ points for attempts were given (attempts to resize, to find the location, to shift, and to insert) and 1 point for incrementing myCount was given. Part (c) was correct.

Sample 3

Poor solution (Score 3)

This student received 1 point in part (a) for the attempt. The function does not return a value for all cases. In part (b) this student lost ½ because the size was not doubled by the resize, ½ point because the code for locating the insertion point was not correct, ½ because the shift was incorrect, and ½ because the insertion was incorrect because it was done before shifting. This student also lost a point for not incrementing myCount. In part (c) the student received no points because the array second was never used.

Question 3

Sample 1

Excellent solution (Score 9)

This student did a completely correct solution except for not calling env.Update in part (c).

Sample 2

Good solution (Score 6)

This student received 1 point in part (a) for decrementing myFishCount. In part (b) this student received 1 point for checking all four directions and 1 point for correctly calling AddFish, but failed to check if each position was empty. In part (c) this student lost ½ point because the check for a fish dying was incorrect — r was not declared — and ½ point for failing to call env.Update; otherwise part (c) was correct.

Sample 3

Poor solution (Score 3)

This student received 1 point in part (a) for decrementing myFishCount. In part (b) this student received 1 point for the correct call to EmptyNeighbors but lost ½ point usage for a confused identifier (pos instead of myPos). In part (c) this student received ½ point for an attempt to check if this fish died, ½ point for not allowing a dead fish to breed or move (else), and ½ point for an attempt to breed (incorrect syntax).

Question 4

Sample 1

Excellent solution: (Score 9)

This solution is completely correct.

Sample 2

Good solution (Score 6)

Part (a) is correct. In part (b) the student lost the ½ point for correct for both the IsInBounds check and the use of myMat because the "w." reference was incorrect. In part (c) the student attempted two nested loops but lost 1 point because they are not correct. The student also lost 1 point because the parameters for the call to ColorSquare are incorrect.

Sample 3

Poor solution (Score 3)

The student got 1 point for an attempt in part (a), but the upper bounds in the check are off by one. In part (b) the student got ½ for an attempt at two nested loops, but the bounds are not correct. There was no check for IsInBounds inside the loop, which lost 1 point. The assignment of val to each element was correct. In part (c) the student used nested loops to attempt to copy the rectangle. However, no nested loops were used for doing the enlargement, so the student received no points on this part.