



## AP<sup>®</sup> English Language & Composition 2002 Scoring Guidelines

**The materials included in these files are intended for use by AP teachers for course and exam preparation in the classroom; permission for any other use must be sought from the Advanced Placement Program<sup>®</sup>. Teachers may reproduce them, in whole or in part, in limited quantities, for face-to-face teaching purposes but may not mass distribute the materials, electronically or otherwise. These materials and any copies made of them may not be resold, and the copyright notices must be retained as they appear here. This permission does not apply to any third-party copyrights contained herein.**

These materials were produced by Educational Testing Service<sup>®</sup> (ETS<sup>®</sup>), which develops and administers the examinations of the Advanced Placement Program for the College Board. The College Board and Educational Testing Service (ETS) are dedicated to the principle of equal opportunity, and their programs, services, and employment policies are guided by that principle.

The College Board is a national nonprofit membership association dedicated to preparing, inspiring, and connecting students to college and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the association is composed of more than 4,200 schools, colleges, universities, and other educational organizations. Each year, the College Board serves over three million students and their parents, 22,000 high schools, and 3,500 colleges, through major programs and services in college admission, guidance, assessment, financial aid, enrollment, and teaching and learning. Among its best-known programs are the SAT<sup>®</sup>, the PSAT/NMSQT<sup>®</sup>, and the Advanced Placement Program<sup>®</sup> (AP<sup>®</sup>). The College Board is committed to the principles of equity and excellence, and that commitment is embodied in all of its programs, services, activities, and concerns.

Copyright © 2002 by College Entrance Examination Board. All rights reserved. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, SAT, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Entrance Examination Board. APIEL is a trademark owned by the College Entrance Examination Board. PSAT/NMSQT is a registered trademark jointly owned by the College Entrance Examination Board and the National Merit Scholarship Corporation. Educational Testing Service and ETS are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service.

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE & COMPOSITION

## 2002 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Question 1

**General Directions:** This scoring guide will be useful for most of the essays that you read. If it seems inappropriate for a specific essay, ask your Table Leader for assistance. Always show your Table Leader books that seem to have no response or that contain responses that seem unrelated to the question.

Your score should reflect your judgment of the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write. Therefore, the essay is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards that are appropriate for out-of-class writing assignments. Instead, evaluate the essay as a draft, making certain that you reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those scored 8 and 9, may contain occasional flaws in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. These lapses should enter into your holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should you score an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics higher than a 2.

---

- 9 Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for 8 papers and, in addition, are especially full or apt in their analysis or demonstrate particularly impressive control of language.
- 8 Essays earning a score of 8 effectively analyze the rhetorical strategies that President Lincoln uses to achieve his purpose. They refer to the passage, explicitly or implicitly, and explain the function of specific strategies. The writing is effective but not flawless.
- 7 Essays earning a score of 7 fit the description of 6 essays but provide a more complete analysis or demonstrate a more mature prose style.
- 6 Essays earning a score of 6 adequately analyze the rhetorical strategies that Lincoln uses to achieve his purpose. They refer to the passage, explicitly or implicitly, but their explanation of how specific strategies work is less effective or less developed. The writing is generally clear but may contain lapses in diction or syntax.
- 5 Essays earning a score of 5 analyze Lincoln's strategies, but they may provide uneven, inconsistent, or limited explanations of how these strategies work. The writing usually conveys the writer's ideas but may contain lapses in diction or syntax.
- 4 Essays earning a score of 4 respond to the prompt inadequately. They may misrepresent Lincoln's purpose, analyze his strategies inaccurately, offer little discussion of specific strategies, or rely too much on paraphrase. The writing generally conveys the writer's ideas but may suggest immature control.
- 3 Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but are less perceptive about Lincoln's strategies or less consistent in their control of language.

**AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE & COMPOSITION  
2002 SCORING GUIDELINES**

**Question 1 (cont'd.)**

- 2** Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate little success in analyzing Lincoln's strategies. These essays may offer vague generalizations, merely list techniques, seriously misread the passage, or substitute simpler tasks such as summarizing the passage. The writing often demonstrates consistent weaknesses.
- 1** Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their discussion, or weak in their control of language.
- 0** Indicates an on-topic response that receives no credit, such as one that merely repeats the prompt.
- Indicates a blank response or one that is completely off topic.

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE & COMPOSITION

## 2002 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Question 2

**General Directions:** This scoring guide will be useful for most of the essays that you read. If it seems inappropriate for a specific essay, ask your Table Leader for assistance. Always show your Table Leader books that seem to have no response or that contain responses that seem unrelated to the question.

Your score should reflect your judgment of the essay as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write. Therefore, the essay is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards that are appropriate for out-of-class writing assignments. Instead, evaluate the essay as a draft, making certain that you reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those scored 8 and 9, may contain occasional flaws in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. These lapses should enter into your holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should you score an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics higher than a 2.

---

- 9 Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for 8 papers and, in addition, are especially full or apt in their analysis or demonstrate particularly impressive control of language.
- 8 Essays earning a score of 8 effectively analyze how Woolf's use of language conveys the lasting significance of moments from her past. They refer to the text, explicitly or implicitly, examining the specific elements of language and how they function. The writing is effective but not flawless.
- 7 Essays earning a score of 7 fit the description of 6 essays but provide a more complete analysis or demonstrate a more mature prose style.
- 6 Essays earning a score of 6 adequately analyze how Woolf's use of language conveys the lasting significance of moments from her past, but their discussion is more limited. While the essays refer to the text explicitly or implicitly, they offer a less convincing explanation of how specific elements of language function. The writing is generally clear but may contain lapses in diction or syntax.
- 5 Essays earning a score of 5 analyze Woolf's use of language, but they may provide uneven or inconsistent analyses. They may treat her language in a superficial way or demonstrate a limited understanding of the relationship between her language and the lasting significance that it conveys. The writing usually conveys the writer's ideas but may contain lapses in diction or syntax.
- 4 Essays earning a score of 4 respond to the prompt inadequately. They may misrepresent or merely touch on the relationship between Woolf's language and the way it conveys lasting significance, identify specific elements without adequately discussing their function, or rely too much on paraphrase. The writing generally conveys the writer's ideas but may suggest immature control.
- 3 Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but are less perceptive about Woolf's use of language or less consistent in their control of language.

**AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE & COMPOSITION  
2002 SCORING GUIDELINES**

**Question 2 (cont'd.)**

- 2** Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate little success in analyzing how Woolf's use of language conveys the lasting significance of the moments from her past. These essays may offer vague generalizations, merely list elements of language, seriously misread the passage, or substitute simpler tasks such as summarizing the passage. The writing often demonstrates consistent weaknesses.
- 1** Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their discussion, or weak in their control of language.
- 0** Indicates an on-topic response that receives no credit, such as one that merely repeats the prompt.
- Indicates a blank response or one that is completely off topic.

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE & COMPOSITION

## 2002 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Question 3

**General Directions:** This scoring guide will be useful for most of the essays that you read. If it seems inappropriate for a specific essay, ask your Table Leader for assistance. Always show your Table Leader books that seem to have no response or that contain responses that seem unrelated to the question.

Your score should reflect your judgment of the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write. Therefore, the essay is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards that are appropriate for out-of-class writing assignments. Instead, evaluate the essay as a draft, making certain that you reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those scored 8 and 9, may contain occasional flaws in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. These lapses should enter into your holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should you score an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics higher than a 2.

---

- 9 Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for 8 papers and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument or demonstrate particularly impressive control of language.
- 8 Essays earning a score of 8 successfully establish and support their position in relation to Kundera's claim. Their arguments contain appropriate evidence and are well developed and cogent. The writing is effective but not flawless.
- 7 Essays earning a score of 7 fit the description of 6 essays but are distinguished by more complete or purposeful argumentation or by a more mature prose style.
- 6 Essays earning a score of 6 adequately establish and support their position in relation to Kundera's claim. Their arguments are generally sound and provide sufficient evidence, but they are less developed or less cogent than essays earning higher scores. The writing is generally clear but may contain lapses in diction or syntax.
- 5 Essays earning a score of 5 establish and support their position in relation to Kundera's claim, but their arguments may be inconsistent or unevenly developed. The writing usually conveys the writer's ideas but may contain lapses in diction or syntax.
- 4 Essays earning a score of 4 respond to the prompt inadequately. They may have difficulty establishing a position in relation to Kundera's claim, may use unconvincing evidence, or may be insufficiently developed. The writing generally conveys the writer's ideas but may suggest immature control.
- 3 Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but are less successful in developing their position or less consistent in their control of language.

**AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE & COMPOSITION  
2002 SCORING GUIDELINES**

**Question 3 (cont'd.)**

- 2** Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate little success in developing their position in relation to Kundera's claim. These essays may fail to present an argument, respond with unrelated ideas or inappropriate evidence, or substitute a simpler task such as summary. They may seriously misread the passage or make unsubstantiated claims. The writing often demonstrates consistent weaknesses.
- 1** Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their argument, or weak in their control of language.
- 0** Indicates an on-topic response that receives no credit, such as one that merely repeats the prompt.
- Indicates a blank response or one that is completely off topic.