AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2008 SCORING GUIDELINES (Form B)

Question 1

The score should reflect a judgment of the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 15 minutes to read the sources and 40 minutes to write; therefore, the essay is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards that are appropriate for an out-of-class assignment. Evaluate the essay as a draft, making certain to reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those scored 8 or 9, may contain occasional flaws in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. Such features should enter into the holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case may an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics be scored higher than a 2.

9 Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for 8 essays and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument, skillful in their synthesis of sources, or impressive in their control of language.

8 Effective

Essays earning a score of 8 **effectively** develop a position on whether or not there should be specific texts that all students of high school English must read. They support their position by successfully synthesizing* at least three of the sources. The argument is convincing, and the student uses the sources effectively to develop a position. The prose demonstrates an ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing but is not necessarily flawless.

7 Essays earning a score of 7 fit the description of 6 essays but are distinguished by more complete or more purposeful argumentation and synthesis of sources or a more mature prose style.

6 Adequate

Essays earning a score of 6 **adequately** develop a position on whether or not there should be specific texts that all students of high school English must read. They synthesize at least three of the sources. The student's argument is generally convincing, and the student generally uses the sources to support a position, but the argument is less developed or less cogent than the arguments of essays earning higher scores. The language may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but generally the prose is clear.

5 Essays earning a score of 5 develop a position on whether or not there should be specific texts that all students of high school English must read. They develop the position by synthesizing at least three sources, but their arguments and their use of sources are somewhat limited, inconsistent, or uneven. The argument is generally clear, and the sources generally develop the student's position, but the links between the sources and the argument may be strained. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but it usually conveys the student's ideas adequately.

^{*}For the purposes of scoring, synthesis refers to combining the sources and the student's position to form a cohesive, supported argument and accurately citing sources.

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2008 SCORING GUIDELINES (Form B)

Question 1 (continued)

4 Inadequate

Essays earning a score of 4 **inadequately** develop a position on whether or not there should be specific texts that all students of high school English must read. They attempt to present an argument and develop the position by synthesizing at least two sources but may misunderstand, misrepresent, or oversimplify either their own argument or the sources they include. The link between the argument and the sources is weak. The prose of 4 essays may suggest immature control of writing.

3 Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for a score of 4 but demonstrate less understanding of the sources, less success in developing their own position, or less control of writing.

2 Little Success

Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate **little success** in developing a position on whether or not there should be specific texts that all students of high school English must read. They may merely allude to knowledge gained from reading the sources rather than citing the sources themselves. These essays may misread the sources, fail to present an argument, or substitute a simpler task by merely responding to the question tangentially or by simply summarizing the sources. The prose of 2 essays often demonstrates consistent weaknesses in writing, such as a lack of development or organization, grammatical problems, or a lack of control.

- **1** Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for a score of 2 but are especially simplistic or are weak in their control of writing or do not cite even one source.
- **0** Indicates an on-topic response that receives no credit, such as one that merely repeats the prompt.
- Indicates a blank response or one that is completely off topic.

3

I am a product of at least five different educational systems.
Trequently profe ask me if these experiences have harmed my academic
deviorment
and social upminging and I respond solving "definely not." As
Pirofski stated "nulticultural" aspects of education are "valuable learning
tools for diveloping children. Therefore; a non-standardized approach nursers
to education is the most beneficial. I am against the statement
that specific texts should be read by all high school English students
an the grounds that standardization excludes, mainstreams and
limits high schooler's education in a changing world.
Creating a list of specific texts that all high school students must
read will exclude "greatness" (Landow). Anthologies and other acourse
related sylabi gate keep many great writers. To be included in
there standardised quiaslines for school curriculums one must
mank "influencial infici" (Landow) and the like not solely anels
ability as a writer. These "gaie expens" (landow) ensures a
winter's acceptance into "high where" (Landow). Front that
connections to influencial members of the "fortress of high culture"
versus genulne witing ability ensured literary success think of
how few women witers have managed to gain entrance = such
anthologies. " Women have been writing "as long as men have
but their socioeconomic status has inhibited them for centuries to
acheire "grantus." Therefore, to have a good school arriculum
one should not solely pull From the celebrated writers from
well known standardized ethologia anthologies but one should also
open one's students' minds to some of the noncelebrated

talent of non-mainstream uniters.
Another negative side effect of stoodardizing the reading
117ts of all high school English Classes is mainstreaming. To
ensure a well-educated young generation contening the world of
adulthood at the end of their Ayens to virgh school one writs
codulthood at the end of their Ayears to virgh school one wrists capable independent thinkers that can contribute to scripty. To generate
such a rapable group of citizens teachers should avoid
mainstreaming and and "pop anthologies" (Landow). As Followan
rennearks one "can't trust contrologies." He elaborates by Stating
"teachers have to make their own decisions" regarding what
literary works to include in their English classes. Incorporating a
variety of sources gurantees a well constructed knowledge
base for a particular pois of literature, with which the student
can better understand and analyze the peice. Having drawn
from a variety of source also ensures that not all
freshmen english students interpret "Lord of the Flies" the
same way and opens the doors for discussion and further
intellectual stimulation. current high school
One method by which A students study literature in our
global world is via internet. On the computer screen "the
digital text becomes unfixed and interactive" (Fowler) for the
students. Besides being rible to analyze and interactively work
with the material office students can also "become a writer"
(Fowler) and further their creative abilities in online forums.
There activities would not be possible if every students' work

and curriculum was identical. There would be not purpose for
discussion if every freshmen interpreted the "Lord of the Flies"
in the same manner? Therefore, by standardizing the texts all
Inigh school English students road one would be limiting the most the modern age procests possibilities of the modern age. As Formier states "a relatively
possibilities of the mortern age. As Formier states a relatively
Stable [literary] canon made sense in a culture dominated by
printed books " but in "electronic washing space on a stable
and withouty as students 100 our others maniforming glabal environment change.
as students no our obsess transforming glabal envisionment change.
[has go has to
to except one has to be open to new identity has
to do away in standard ordine Standardisation comformer. Us
into one mainstrum train of transport and excited possibilities
(Lander)
In a continuously transforming global society standardization inhibits
academic progress. The Webers, Lockes and Marxes of tomorrow
can not be formed by a society that seeks success through
conformity. A more open open minded and intellectually or reading requirements republic generation will result from des de-standarditation and the
compable generation will result from des de-standarditation and the
genvine "greatness" without
1 /

	10
1	10f3
	1

In every shoot there are teachers and students.
The two have a distinct relationship and bond that they doe not
have for others, outside the scholastic society. These teachers their students.
Know their Students. They know what is best for them and what
they will need to teach them in order to advance in life both
through research personities and . They want their students to be
successful in both the field in which they want to study, and their
othics; attitudes towards others and about life. Most importantly
the teacher knows the students culture. Fixery location all over the
globe has a distinct culture from the other. Through this understanding
it should must definitly be the teachers decision on what they
give their stubents to read in an English class.
In the class the teachers need to teach their students
properly. The term properly, refers to different styles of teaching
so the student will bearn best. The pieper way of leaching is
different for almost away teachor. As some C states teachors
have to in ake their own decisions. They cannot base their schoolule
on what a single person or group of people have decided is the
best a and only may to terrange English Class. If you observe the
Chart in Source C you come to parice that the some books titles
appear in both Public and Private Schools in the United States.
It you observes now intensely you will see that the percent
of each book read is almost 20% different they the
other school. This is because the teachers know which of the

1

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 2 of 3 on this page as it is designated in the exam.

students. The Students private schools (itizen will attend that what he nas learn is different from what private Schools 75 learning have completely different fertures Set from the minute they teacher needs to be involved and life. The two Students have . This is why each teacher has to feach Cyriculum acciculos draws none attention is the students attenting their own culture and learn Atthings differently their home. Florithe use of class roun This most too segregated racist or containe, and even for the its culture. But different Humerica a significant

1

a Steak or hamburger perty that he will eat every other day.
But the Sudanase students, surprinced by civil war audint care
loss about this book. It is more important for him to bear about his
Calture and background, it will be more important for that sudgresses
Students sake if he reads about like lebat is the whoat. Where
a Sudness refiger tellship stry of survival and his witherses of
mariacre and pain It will give tope to the student. The author out
Att autobizeraphy had excepted sideris hard times and test to thece
America difficult he field to America to start a now life. This story
brings tope and swhat the Student may need. The teacher will
Knew this because he Knows what is post for the his it whent-
This is why each teacher needs to teach the ir own accept
the Cherally you can easily observe why each teacher
needs to truck their own content and a coign a variety of reading
texts to help the Student. & Fach parson has a different lifestyre
and will need to next different texts to help him through life. Fach
Hadent has a different culture and historical background and will head to read context which teaches him to either be guared what
he gats every day over something that inspires and gives him
hope and confidence. This To the species the student. And
this is why they cannot all toad frontie Some outext in an Emish
dass.
€ /

acestron 1

1 Deligies that there should not
the specific texts that all students of
night school english must read the purpose
of this essay is to give the Deader a
troughtful analysis on my position of
who why there should not be specific texts.
As a straight I have had many affirment
tocichers and have observed how
each one of them teaches differently
I feel like if make each school read the
sime books we are limiting poth what the
stadents reason and so now as what the
treachers teach
in source 3 it show a table adapted
from a 1992 publication of the National Council
of trachers of English. The toble consists
of the most frequently bequired tites and
their parcent from grades \$9-12 within
the US. The topies also compages Public
Schools verses Incuperit Schools (Private schools)
As you observed the toine I realized that the
types of schools are for the most pupl
on the same page I don't see the need
to limit the schools variety on what they
aead.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam. talks Jace C Owo Politos lauton Eenkennin believes rave to make their own decisions trainer CW priate it to a @ take that the include" This is an example can teach at and books that others found so oregedod Lest the teaching in acrolle nighter Strollents こころく

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2008 SCORING COMMENTARY (Form B)

Question 1

Sample: 1A Score: 8

The first paragraph of this effective essay has pertinent content (none of the trivially obvious generalizations typical of lower-scoring responses) and personal observation relevant to its thesis. The thesis alludes to issues found in the sources (standardization, exclusion, a changing world) but is not dependent on the language or specific argumentation used in these sources. The language is controlled throughout the essay, which has sophisticated vocabulary and sentence structure. The student's synthesis of sources is effective. Source A (Landow) is examined in terms of wording and specific claims, points that are interspersed with the student's own interpretive statements. The student lingers in discussion of Landow but also explicitly references Eshleman (who is cited by Greer in Source C) and nicely connects the arguments of these two sources. Source B is also implicitly invoked with a mention of "'Lord of the Flies'" as a canonical work that can be better understood if read in conjunction with nonstandard texts. The discussion of Source F is also effective. The concluding paragraph has an interesting flourish, which goes beyond the main argument of the essay: "The Webers, Lockes and Marxes of tomorrow can not be formed by a society that seeks success through conformity." The concluding sentence is also particularly strong.

Sample: 1B Score: 5

The opening statement of this essay ("In every school there are teachers and students") is not particularly insightful, but the introductory paragraph is reasonably developed. There is some sophisticated identification of issues from the sources, such as "distinct culture," which informs the student's argument against having a standard reading list. Source C is paraphrased in somewhat specific terms, but the treatment is less thoughtful and less directed toward synthetic argument than in upper-half essays. The third paragraph includes a discussion of the kind of noncanonical source that might be appropriate in the United States (Fast Food Nation), which is compared with a noncanonical source appropriate for readers in Sudan (What Is the What). This outside information enhances the information provided in Source E and supports the student's thesis. The essay is briefly but inconsistently adequate, which is why it received a score of 5.

Sample: 1C Score: 2

This unsuccessful essay begins with a trivially true introductory statement—that each teacher "teaches differently." The thesis is a simplistic paraphrase of source positions that offers no real argument. This is followed by descriptions of Source B and Source C that use simplistic language for limited interpretations. The conclusion is based on a claim that students need interesting reading material rather than on any synthesis of the sources.