AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2

The score should reflect the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write; the paper, therefore, is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards appropriate for an out-of-class assignment. Evaluate the paper as a draft, making certain to reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those with scores of 8 or 9, may contain occasional lapses in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. Such features should enter into a holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics score higher than a 2.

9 Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for the score of 8, and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument, thorough in their development, or impressive in their control of language.

8 - Effective

Essays earning a score of 8 **effectively** analyze* the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and convincing, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The prose demonstrates a consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing but is not necessarily flawless.

7 Essays earning a score of 7 meet the criteria for the score of 6 but provide more complete explanation, more thorough development, or a more mature prose style.

6 - Adequate

Essays earning a score of 6 **adequately** analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and sufficient, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The essay may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but generally the prose is clear.

5 Essays earning a score of 5 analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. The evidence or explanations used may be uneven, inconsistent, or limited. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but it usually conveys the student's ideas.

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2 (continued)

4 - Inadequate

Essays earning a score of 4 **inadequately** analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. These essays may misunderstand the passage, misrepresent the strategies Louv uses, or may analyze these strategies insufficiently. The evidence or explanations used may be inappropriate, insufficient, or unconvincing. The prose generally conveys the student's ideas but may be inconsistent in controlling the elements of effective writing.

3 Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but demonstrate less success in analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They are less perceptive in their understanding of the passage or Louv's strategies, or the explanations or examples may be particularly limited or simplistic. The essays may show less maturity in control of writing.

2 - Little Success

Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate **little success** in analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. These essays may misunderstand the prompt, misread the passage, fail to analyze the strategies Louv uses, or substitute a simpler task by responding to the prompt tangentially with unrelated, inaccurate, or inappropriate explanation. The essays often demonstrate consistent weaknesses in writing, such as grammatical problems, a lack of development or organization, or a lack of control.

- 1 Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their explanation, or weak in their control of language.
- **0** Indicates an off-topic response, one that merely repeats the prompt, an entirely crossed-out response, a drawing, or a response in a language other than English.
- Indicates an entirely blank response.
- * For the purposes of scoring, analysis refers to explaining how the author's rhetorical choices develop meaning or achieve a particular effect or purpose.

Since the dawn of manking, even before contraction, man lived at the land and depended on it Even in the first antrawers - Egypt, for everypte mandaported nature controlled all aspects of life. Slow In league to learn how to control neutere and use it to our achemerge loday, mems connection with native as Richard Lour argues in start child sad froth that continues to in the woods, this is a verity. Lour argues against ising the devices of hypothetical example, and anleaste to advance his Claim perheel to bey an hyperbolic descriptions system, Using Essente production experience the customermust bave felt in the anecdote. he most affempts to show that the satesing -1chnology foclaris Jocretic

continue building off the M-cav entertainm anesdate, Lour raises a hypothetreal example show his disclain forwards the role He proposes That too we'll someday fell over grandchildren" their there was a the when kids "looked out the car window" shotead -frij hypethetocal trighteningly realistic. The Even is about a disablevel internet, to certainly Lours peoples hypothesis to be ful. The Mr shoderly the realism example newes the reader realise the whather immediate and the perce at which effectively ausing alarm and nature more hypothetrical examples mine abstract and concrete meagery to ordineros the separation of most effective device, Louis That is virtually

familiar, and the issue. His description of the "telephone poles frekering by, and herses" evokes a nostalgor renembrance readers own childhead, rendering hom or her unable to restit the desire to preserve these memorries for the future generaltrones. He nakes the reader realite that this experience may be lost nutine is not bridged. Creates a sense of mistful loss to the key is that brings the issue home on apersonal to anyone who has ever some gozed out a as a shild the laws argument refres on anecdotal evacuel, mpethetical example, and more importantly, it retres on a sense of persono attachment to the Isue at hourd. The proves that the separate of sperity between nature of an oscill that affects all of order to preserve the golden days youth, we must close the gap. and Louv effectively prove that the separettion S, in a word, unnectorer needs remedy. Luchy, the remedy is not unartaineable; rether, it begins with our own decisions.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

It is rather difficult to comprehend our
Society today. We strive to define ourselves as
individuals yet many times we conform to the
norm in an effort to fit in. We look for the
best in technology with collaphones, and work televisions,
and vehicles, sometimes forgetting to just enjoy
the simplicity of nature. In his well-developed
essay "Last Child in the Woods", Richard Lour appealed
to both logos and pathos in a sheer effort to
illustrate the seperation between people
ord nature.

referring Mr. back Lour began his ESSay vehicle. When pushed Friend who purchased a the, television in the Sales man include CI by. BANAAAAAAAAAA the Friend gaid no. rear Seat, to child friend for dosired her Louv's Mr of nature. Many Americans elaim the beauty enjoy blevision. kids watch less to their want they they "multimedia purchase would these So ogically products"? to Mr. entertainment used Louy . 1 magery Simplicity looking elaborate the just of out 9 from window He the the back Seat. Stated from children's the gained early understanding ... was backseat: architecture... the the farmhouse ... variety empty

	α
Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.	2 (20)
the woods and fields and water beyond the	e Alfadi Seamy
edges" Using these words Mr. Louv la	ogically implied that
hature can indeed instruct. We just r	
time and effort to pay attention.	
	,
As the essay formulated, Mr. Law	Aashed back
to the typical childhood the my my more	experience
in a car. He claimed, "We actually look	od out the con
window," With an appeal to pathos, Mr.	Lour allowed
his readers to reconnect with their joya	us childish
antics. He wrote, "We saw birds Apr	counted cows and
horses Was held our little plastic cors ogai	
and pretended that they, too, were racing	
unknown destination." By doing so Mr.	Louv allowed
his readers past emotions during their	
help them understand the Simple yet o	confounding
seperation between people and nature	2.
Moreover by appealing to	both logos and
pathos, Richard Louv established the Sepe	petron between
people and rature. For even through a	
nde, nature can call out to us, and	d allow us to
see who we truly are,	
11	
#	

seperation bet strategies to develop his argu quotation, rhetorical questions of direct quotation salesman's your aropped didn't want a backslat television accustion of proportioning modern upcope there held for more and more to then goes on a but ob om of med rhetoural questions. He attacks hypoaritical parents who buy the backet televisions and then complain their too much = 14. watching from the backseat anym questions make the reader thin ct on their own behaviors concerning technology and nature

remenisses on the past days on his anied hove seeing the world through
anied hove seeing the world through
,
,
the backseat. The author sees himself
as an old man telling his grandchild
isomorphocked expensions that he actually
"looked out the car window" the also
seems a bit saddened by this, that
his grandchildren will totaley by
overrun by technology and miss out
on the beauty of nature.
Richard Low uses the metorical
devices of thetoural questioning,
the tone of nortalgie, and direct
quotation to snow that society
is divolging in a world less of
nature and more of technology.
4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2

Overview

Ouestion 2, the analysis question, provides an opportunity for students to demonstrate their practical understanding of rhetorical analysis. Like the synthesis question, the analysis question requires students to integrate reading and writing skills. Rhetorical reading entails comprehending both the **meaning** and **purpose** of an author's **argument** and its intended **audience(s)**, and students are asked to demonstrate rhetorical comprehension of a text by explaining how the author's rhetorical decisions promote or hinder successful accomplishment of the purpose. In short, rhetorical analysis means explaining not only **what** writers are saying but also **why** and **how** they are saying it.

This year's analysis question featured a passage from Richard Louv's Last Child in the Woods that sounds an alarm about the increasing separation between humans and the natural world. The passage opens by mentioning advances in genetic engineering that increase nature's potential as a medium for corporate advertising. Louv then recounts an anecdote in which a car salesman pressures one of his friends to equip her vehicle with a backseat video screen and asks, "Why do so many people no longer consider the physical world worth watching?" In answer to this question, he presents a nostalgic account of the car trips of yesteryear when "children's early understanding of how cities and nature fit together was gained from the backseat," and he imagines a collective "we" telling "our" grandchildren "We actually looked out the car window."

This year's analysis asked students to discern an *implicit* argument directed to audiences far less immediate and concrete than Kennedy's message and audiences in last year's prompt. Louv's "we" is a generational descriptor, separating his primary audience from the generation of readers represented by students taking the exam, a generation for whom backseat video screens have become commonplace. This year's students were therefore positioned as eavesdroppers on a conversation conducted by their elders about young people's changed relationship to the natural world. Louv conveys his message indirectly, by describing a brave new world in which butterfly wings can be designed to carry corporate logos and in which children cannot imagine entertaining themselves by looking out the car window, much less interacting directly with nature.

Sample: 2A Score: 8

In the opening paragraph of the essay, the student identifies a clear understanding of Richard Louv's argument that "man's connection with nature is sparse ... a sad truth that continues to progress in severity." While the essay presents a rather formulaic approach to analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument, each paragraph presents a full explanation of how each of the strategies (anecdote, hypothetical example, and imagery) serves to advance Louv's argument. In the second paragraph, the student insightfully analyzes how Louv's "accessible anecdote" of the friend's purchase of an SUV "leads the reader to experience the same annoyance the customer must have felt" and intentionally shows that the "salesman's attitude towards dependence on technology is one that is nearly universal in today's society." Despite the rather choppy transition between these discrete paragraphs, the student provides a convincing analysis of how Louv's presentation of the hypothetical "grandchildren" example illustrates the "shocking realism" that calls the reader's attention to the "immediacy of the issue and the pace at which the issue is progressing." The student develops the analysis further by noting how the shift to a nostalgic tone illustrates Louv's desire to communicate the danger that could occur "if the separation between people and nature is not bridged." The student's poignant observation that Louv's imagery creates "a sense of wistful loss that brings the issue home on a personal level to anyone who has ever gazed out a car window as a child" is particularly effective in analyzing how Louv's rhetorical choices are designed to illicit a particular effect and develop a particular purpose. The essay earned an 8 for its overall convincing explanations and its consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing.

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2 (continued)

Sample: 2B Score: 6

The student opens the essay by providing an adequate understanding of Louv's argument that technology interferes with society's enjoyment of the "simplicity of nature," noting that although "we strive to define ourselves as individuals ... we conform to the norm in an effort to fit in." Using the anecdote of the friend who purchased the SUV, the student sufficiently analyzes Louv's point about the faulty logic behind the salesman's advice that Louv's friend purchase a television screen for the vehicle when many "Americans claim they want their kids to watch less television." The student later notes in the same paragraph that Louv's use of imagery allows him to elaborate on the simplicity of nature, logically implying that "nature can indeed instruct." In the third paragraph, the extensive quotations diminish the effectiveness of the student's analysis of Louv's use of an appeal to pathos to argue against the separation between people and nature. However, the student's final commentary that "through a simple car ride, nature can call out to us, and allow us to see who we truly are" provides sufficient closure to the essay. The essay earned a 6 for its adequate explanation of how the author's rhetorical choices develop meaning and its generally clear and coherent prose.

Sample: 2C Score: 3

The essay provides an inadequate analysis of the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument, claiming only that Louv "writes a striking piece on the separation between people and nature." Each of the three body paragraphs has a similar simplistic structure: the student identifies a strategy, provides a short piece of evidence, and explains in a limited way how or why the strategy is connected to Louv's argument. In the second paragraph, the student clearly struggles with connecting rhetorical strategies to meaning when offering "direct quotation" as a strategy Louv uses to reveal "the absurd reaction of modern people and their need for more and more technology." In the third paragraph, the student misrepresents Louv's tone as angry, stating that Louv "goes on a bit of a rant in the form of rhetorical questions" and "attacks hypocritical parents." The student does later correctly recognize that Louv's anecdotes in the second half of the passage carry a "sense of nostalgia" and notes that Louv is "saddened" by the separation between people and nature. Overall, however, the essay earned a 3 for its less perceptive understanding of Louv's rhetorical choices and its particularly limited and simplistic explanations.