# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

## **Question 3**

The score should reflect the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write; the paper, therefore, is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards appropriate for an out-of-class assignment. Evaluate the paper as a draft, making certain to reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those scored 8 or 9, may contain occasional lapses in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. Such features should enter into a holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics score higher than a 2.

**9** Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for the score of 8 and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument, thorough in their development, or particularly impressive in their control of language.

### 8 – Effective

Essays earning a score of 8 **effectively** develop a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. The evidence and explanations used are appropriate and convincing, and the argument is especially coherent and well developed. The prose demonstrates a consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing but is not necessarily flawless.

**7** Essays earning a score of 7 meet the criteria for the score of 6 but provide a more complete explanation, more thorough development, or a more mature prose style.

## 6 – Adequate

Essays earning a score of 6 **adequately** develop a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. The evidence and explanations used are appropriate and sufficient, and the argument is coherent and adequately developed. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but generally the prose is clear.

5 Essays earning a score of 5 develop a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. The evidence or explanations used may be uneven, inconsistent, or limited. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but it usually conveys the student's ideas.

#### 4 – Inadequate

Essays earning a score of 4 **inadequately** develop a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. The evidence or explanations used may be inappropriate, insufficient, or unconvincing. The argument may have lapses in coherence or be inadequately developed. The prose generally conveys the student's ideas but may be inconsistent in controlling the elements of effective writing.

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

# **Question 3 (continued)**

**3** Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but demonstrate less success in developing a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. The essays may show less maturity in control of writing.

### 2 – Little Success

Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate **little success** in developing a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. These essays may misunderstand the prompt, or substitute a simpler task by responding to the prompt tangentially with unrelated, inaccurate, or inappropriate explanation. The prose often demonstrates consistent weaknesses in writing, such as grammatical problems, a lack of development or organization, or a lack of coherence and control.

- 1 Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their explanation and argument, weak in their control of language, or especially lacking in coherence.
- **0** Indicates an off-topic response, one that merely repeats the prompt, an entirely crossed-out response, a drawing, or a response in a language other than English.
- Indicates an entirely blank response.


ZA Write in the box the number of the question you are answer (1.FZ) 3 on this page as it is designated in the exam. believe that the term "ownership" applies to both "tangible and He intangible. It's possible to own both a physical object, such as a watch, and something that will only exist in our minds, such as a idea. The verb "to own" doesn't just mean concept or an Something, it means that we know something, and that we made it a part of ourselves. Here's an example: I own Voltaire's Candide. I own a physical his work, in the original French. However, through reading copy of it, I also own the story of Candide, because I know it, and Pangloss's Heavy that everything Lappens Lappens for the best, because I've chosen to remain ber it. I don't have the night to sell Candille, own to claim credit for contract up writing it, because I dant or it the way we legally define ownership. I own it because I're added the experience and memory of reading it to my personal of myself and my story concept I own my idea of ownoship, I don't own the original A While illa, that we own what we learn, what we know, and what remember. This concept is traditionally a Jewish one, and while I haven't taken all Jewish traditional teachings to heart, have held onto this one. The idea behind it stems from a history is the reasons why Jecuish unlive values knowledge and persecution so highly. When you are unsure of your safety and laning and that at what you physically oun, you Security, and what you own in your mind, asa partot remember that lalues, your knowledge, can rever be taken yourself, your

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

From you while you still remember them. They're part of your identi be taken from you unless your sense of self, and that can't choose to let it go. For me, this concept of owning what I know, and of owning my sense of myself is attractive because it gives me a feeling of permanence, and of something I can use as an anchor. I ve been taught to question what I think and what I believe, but I also do this by intras virtue of my age. My experiences, my manories, my core set of values, at of this I own all of them. They're me. They give me something to come back to when I'm not sure what I Minh, or what I believe. They're my reference, my enchar. When I begin college this summer and truly have the freedom to figure out my life and my beliefs, I will still have this set of ideas, encharing me. This is they to It's a conforting thought. And that's it's my basis for believing you can own an idea

3

& Another example is my concept of ownership itself, as it clases to the intempible.



3

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 3B on this page as it is designated in the exam. (1 of 3)

self Mership and Sens une Single a MASE lian a O OO. on 1.171 Ship 0111 Sil 0 YOU nu N FILA ense own 5 5 DU mave INN G GOB MM re ON in Ø TIM C DIA GAA 0 as TIA Cogath 0

© 2013 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

>

3B (20F3) Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 2 on this page as it is designated in the exam. had 75 .1/ Ü 11 mmy and Starto -I¥ SECTIMO 50 0 U N P ONOOD ited an 2 MIN 115 SV my at VP MA a ner l tous INP NP NR nine ers N al Neitic meri n 14 IM buck om and NI hip 2 Ma M lan 0 0 Û PARI SSONS agai 5 0 (anci3 Λ on )IIM Man t 04 al OA. NR MAINA innel 1 Trac TING heis ho NO m mu h 0 a NR ald 10 D at

© 2013 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 3B on this page as it is designated in the exam.  $\sim$ (3 of 3) S.P. R an interes B A 200 D )A OUY Umil C M Sta Ŷ a es Mer 15 20 omes MOM 5 arn 1 3 na -10 al  $\mathcal{Q}$ a hi U 5 m 0 an 0 avin Mi A 5 MASsel U DIAN Within 51 itr penenco 5 coner you IOU Ű 0 tron n me Con S hV NIN NO a VI 3

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

of 2) Uwn-ership SCIF 8 Por im onse of INN () where CONNECT but Both rent 10 Etrimante 1/2 BUIN 00 (STen Som ( Gu The ersontly P -On OF MOUN ouh Some omes SDA Val protec

Question 3

Someting Nhina When in avound Know tlat OV En You re Purtical Also ong OD 00 +0 Whan 4 0V Witt agr C 61 1000 A Constant Uwners 151 ĺ, Jevelop to Own th Instance IN TF triv 0 PORSUN 145 valup alon 10 e vali takes Clac SOMEONE P 061 wont that IF 452 -6 else 0,0 ome conto -th him WONT It WAPP De 10tei S Pair. Wour 10 be IAA

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam. (2.62) JEVEbpe SelF ntit UWNO 10 wor h G Juih mater m Partice sting este 4 evelyone Ĩ-) an ai M por lon MA Alm con Fidon Who ++ w lann S 31 Dwn For JUNA

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

## **Question 3**

#### Overview

This year's argument prompt raised the question, "What does it mean to own something?" followed by a brief account of three Western philosophers' views on ownership. Students were then directed to "think about the differing views of ownership" and to "explain your position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self," drawing support for their arguments from reading, experience, or observation.

The question was intended to provide an opportunity for students to demonstrate their rhetorical skills by formulating convincing, original arguments — articulating claims and substantiating them with appropriate and sufficient evidence and explanation. The positions taken by Plato, Aristotle, and Sartre on the meaning of "ownership" were provided in the prompt to "jump start" students' thinking about the abstract concepts they needed to juggle in formulating their arguments: ownership and sense of self. To argue successfully, students needed to ascend to an even higher level of abstraction — to consider the "relationship" between the abstract concepts of ownership and sense of self. By requiring students to think and write at this level of abstraction, the prompt was intended to elicit students' skills in defining and defending the terms of their arguments; they needed to clarify their understandings of ownership and sense of self as they asserted their positions on how these two concepts are related. While the question demanded abstract thought, successfully defining and explaining the terms of their arguments typically required students to demonstrate the ability to present abstractions in terms of concrete examples and illustrations.

### Sample: 3A Score: 8

The essay opens with an abstract but effective exploration of ownership and considers whether it applies to both tangible and intangible objects. The essay extends the explanation by examining how the student both physically owns a copy of Voltaire's Candide and intangibly owns the ideas gleaned from Candide because the "experience and memory of reading" the text has added to the student's sense of self. Although the student is somewhat redundant in expressing the relationship between ownership and self, the student effectively connects the teachings and traditions of Judaism to the student's personal philosophy of ownership and sense of self, describing "a history of persecution" and a value system that honors the acquisition of "knowledge and learning." The essay transitions to examining how owning one's sense of self is "attractive because it gives [the student] a feeling of permanence" in a world where the student has been "taught to question" beliefs. However, the student reflects that personal "experiences," "memories," and a "core set of values" allow a return to stasis when the student feels bombarded by uncertainty, particularly in the example of going to college, where personal beliefs and a sense of self can be tested. Although the student repetitively refers to this sense of "permanence" as an "anchor," the overall discussion in the conclusion of the essay provides a convincing explanation of the relationship between ownership and self. The essay earned a score of 8 for its sophisticated argument and its consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing.

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

# **Question 3 (continued)**

#### Sample: 3B Score: 5

The student opens the argument by defending Jean-Paul Sartre's position that an individual can own both tangible and intangible things and by explaining that "finding your own sense of ownership to where you belong in this world, helps you discover your own true self." The student offers an adequate discussion of finding a sense of self on a return visit to Ireland, recounting first the strong sense of cultural identify that existed when the student lived with family in Ireland. Upon returning to America, the student reflected on a "lost sense of ... ownership" from forfeiting his or her Irish heritage for "newfound opportunities in academics and athletics"; however, the student argues that a return to Ireland ultimately brought back a sense of self. While the prose is generally clear, the student struggles somewhat with repetitive ideas, and the discussion of the relationship between ownership and sense of self in *The Great Gatsby* is limited: the student has difficulty connecting the example of Jay Gatsby with the question posed in the prompt. The summary at the end of the essay does not add any further evidence to support the student's argument. The essay earned a 5 for its uneven development in arguing the relationship between ownership and sense of self.

### Sample: 3C Score: 2

The essay achieves little success in developing a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. The opening paragraph demonstrates a consistent weakness in expressing the student's position that "[o]wnership and sense of self are different, but both connect." The student attempts to respond to the task by defining ownership and agreeing with Aristotle's claim that ownership and moral character go hand in hand but fails to provide any specific evidence as support. Unsubstantiated claims about how ownership teaches "responsibility" and develops "self identity" fail to assist the student in articulating and supporting a position on the relationship between ownership and sense of self. The essay received a 2 for its lack of development and organization and its consistent weakness in the control of language.