AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2015 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2

The essay's score should reflect the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write; the essay, therefore, is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards appropriate for an out-of-class assignment. Evaluate the essay as a draft, making certain to reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those scored 8 or 9, may contain occasional lapses in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. Such features should enter into your holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should you give a score higher than a 2 to a paper with errors in grammar and mechanics that persistently interfere with your understanding of meaning.

9 – Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for the score of 8 and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument, thorough in their development, or impressive in their control of language.

8 - Effective

Essays earning a score of 8 **effectively** analyze* the rhetorical choices Chavez makes to develop his argument about nonviolent resistance. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and convincing, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The prose demonstrates a consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing but is not necessarily flawless.

7 – Essays earning a score of 7 meet the criteria for the score of 6 but provide more complete explanation, more thorough development, or a more mature prose style.

6 - Adequate

Essays earning a score of 6 **adequately** analyze the rhetorical choices Chavez makes to develop his argument about nonviolent resistance. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and sufficient, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but generally the prose is clear.

5 – Essays earning a score of 5 analyze the rhetorical choices Chavez makes to develop his argument about nonviolent resistance. The evidence or explanations used may be uneven, inconsistent, or limited. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but it usually conveys the writer's ideas.

4 - Inadequate

Essays earning a score of 4 **inadequately** analyze the rhetorical choices Chavez makes to develop his argument about nonviolent resistance. The student may misunderstand the passage, misrepresent the strategies Chavez uses, or analyze these strategies insufficiently. The evidence or explanations used may be inappropriate, insufficient, or unconvincing. The prose generally conveys the writer's ideas but may be inconsistent in controlling the elements of effective writing.

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2015 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2 (continued)

3 – Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but demonstrate less success in analyzing the rhetorical choices Chavez makes to develop his argument about nonviolent resistance. They are less perceptive in their understanding of the passage or Chavez's strategies, or the explanations or examples may be particularly limited or simplistic. The essays may show less maturity in their control of writing.

2 - Little Success

Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate **little success** in analyzing the rhetorical choices Chavez makes to develop his argument about nonviolent resistance. The student may misunderstand the prompt, misread the passage, fail to analyze the strategies Chavez uses, or substitute a simpler task by responding to the prompt tangentially with unrelated, inaccurate, or inappropriate explanation. The prose often demonstrates consistent weaknesses in writing, such as grammatical problems, a lack of development or organization, or a lack of control.

- 1 Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their explanation, or weak in their control of language.
- **0** Indicates an off-topic response, one that merely repeats the prompt, an entirely crossed-out response, a drawing, or a response in a language other than English.
- Indicates an entirely blank response.
- * For the purposes of scoring, analysis means explaining the rhetorical choices an author makes in an attempt to achieve a particular effect or purpose.

The 60's was the higher of the could night movement.

After King's assassantion, there were many calls for a violent response to the trad truggedy that had struck them. Cesar Change pleads with the people to help them see their the bast way, the only way to achieve meaningful and significent change is through nonvolent achieves. It's use of strikking diction, justapositions, and appeals to the findemental beliefs of his reader leave his asto audience with liftle doubt as to the proper cause of achieve.

chaves, less than 10 words into his request, has aloundy tred "nonvolence" to 'power"; thus, followed by his claims of unonun lence provides the paperturity to stay on the offensive" gives his readers the impression that romantence is innatly connected with power and importance, a the goes on to say their those who' trady concerned" about the people will not strong from the path of nenvolence, giving his needer no choice but to agree if they wont to consider themselves good people. It is deliberate contracts of words such as "freedom" and "democracy" to hersher, undequing terms such as "vicious type of agrees in one!

"no honer" to describe violence envinces to his audience their violence is a horset thing will

2A (2044)

nonvolence onel praceful resistence embodys the

Northwes of America onel cotizions exegutare. Although

he anth contemporatedges considers possible protests &

"we are not blind to feelings of frustantion" he emphases
"balance" and patience through his poverful verd choices.

Tattis compensors between a "newly perfect informent" of change
justa possed with "these who explost espouse violence
exploit people" serve the propose of chaming
readers who advocate for violence and thaption support

for nonvolvent resistance. If chever's coercive doctron

and and so of violence, strengthening support for hurs

auses.

Charez also justosposes the two movements while confrasting historical allusions to give more circletisty to his organism dubile portraging peaceful profest to a favorable light to gain appear. Chavez uses Chandi, a famous and highly respected advocate of renviolence, to allude to the success peace can bring since Chundi manageal to non India to ask from an empire. By directly following their example up with one of a violent movement where poor and helpless people are withed he perhaps the nonviolent movement.

that nillian stand behind the cause of removerence inappying that nonviellence is here successful because they u officet people's support" as apposed to demoralization and death. By very historical examples and obvious contracts, Chaver manages to pertray procedul potents non highly faverable light, encouraging many readers to support his cause—one that seems to be successful, safe, and supported by many.

Chavez also makes full use of the morals of
his resoles when convincing them to gift him their
supperts. Rubbished in a religious magazin, Chavez's
or hicle appeals to render's sense of religious dudy
by making good. By advocating that cool hus
marelated their lit is not something that to a hus
marelated their lit is not something that the con
be fahen away he sways many of the deeply
religious to his scale. He anther appeals to render's'
sense of bumonity and virtue, protraying nemioskine
es as something for those who don't went to exploit
the weath or poor and for those who truly cive
about people. The this audience's meraloty
with not let them be a port of a "viccious
type of appression" or have victery come at the

(CRIV) The Civil Rights movement drastically I History Class acc is a his article Nonviolence is more eviden

his readers to learn from the past, "who gets
Killed in the case of violent revolution? The poor,
the workers." (78-79). In most cases the people
who are after change are the minority, the
appressed, the "poor". Chavez's use of this
example disuades are the people who are
considering violence to achieve their goal from
over attempting it. When looking at the
consequences of waviolence in history, he
convinces his audience that vanviolence is the

within each of Chause's pieces of evidence acceptance he uses another powerful tool: Contrast. The averall organization of his article assessed follows a certain format: he first supports nonviolence and then follows that support with a hupothetical "if" For example, directly follow after his paragraph praising the boycott, he has the "if", "If we welocat the growers at the expense of violence, victory would come both expense of injury and death" libtory is similar to that of when he used history as evidences the peaders have

Ahis Continued Comparison in their minds as nonviolence - good results, violencemaintains the as well and Cause, "temporarily success behind comilating options and

Cesar Chavez's & vehement support of the nonviolent aproach is made clear through his logical per reasons, through and his well developed

2	Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam. 28 (4.44)
Use of contro	ast between and and
brido Accordina	40 Chavez, it's not coorder
Mesausentole	200 even a avoice. Monundence
is the only wa	1140 90,
1/	
	×
	• .
<u> </u>	*

on this page as it is designated in the exam.	<u>'</u> ک	2 C
THE PERCHANTER TO THE ONE	with the officer	(1 of 3)
months en and the sunter and the sun	barlos 100	D .
margree & stop of ingree and has	Shork low	
and alphal estille bestrance	De Delega	<u> </u>
Car de trace o conference	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
In his magnesine article, I	abor unio	<u>n</u>
organises and cost signif lead	los Cesar	1
Chaves wrote of the Conjurtence	of nornviole	nt_
wears to further the movement to	n yaçlal	2
justice. On the tenth conniversar	g of the	Martin
Jullen Kling Jr. 's cessess mation, O	haves empl	loyed
persunsive alration, moving pathologica	el appeals.	
decisive short syntax, and autho	utative et	Tos
to convey his message. Chaves	's oppeal	7
reached out to an audrence of	African-A	neucous
working for justice and equality	& Herou	gh
a religious magasine. His use	of diction, G	nathos,
	the his a	Hcle.
I Throughout his writing, Ce	san Chai	res
contrasted the diction he chas	e When	
writing of nonviolent and violent	reststance	e .
Violent approaches were coupled	101th "logge	2000
"clearly," "victors," and "oppression." On	he often ha	nel
Mouth Luther Kings approach or	A norvoles	nce
weis most ched with example,	n h pover,"	

on this page as it is designated in the exam.
further the nonviolent means King, (3.0f3)
advocated for the westoris use of pathos
motivate and engage his readers emotionally.
Chavez, later in his essay effectively
Utilized short femax to create do and present
a decisive reality surrounding volent resistance
"People suffer from volence" in time 77
is a truth that does not leave room for
reputal or disagreement. Chaver tells the
Meader to "examine history" - which illustrates
that the class of his readers is the class
that is hurt with volence. This short, decisive
Syntax Strengthen his orgunent against violent
resistence, leaving no soom to ignere the
plain, haunting facts he presents.
Jastles Chaves appeals to Ahos Maugh
authority. His auticle is published in a religious
organisation magazine, making his appeal to
God priverful among his readers. He appeals
cuthoritatively to both Dr. Martin Justier King,
Ir and Ghandi, both world-recognized leaders
and figureheads of successful honviolent
protest. Chaver's olde offical appeals are
effective in alluding to moral and authoritative
motivations to readed nonnotently.

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2015 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2

Overview

The "Analysis" prompt was intended to test students' abilities to articulate their understanding of a short, argumentative text as an intentional "speech act" — that is, not merely as words on the page but as words in action, words intended to have a social impact. This year's text was an excerpt from a magazine article by Cesar Chavez detailing how nonviolence works not simply as a strategy but as a moral principle of the farm workers' movement. The prompt supplied contextual information about the occasion of the article (the 10th anniversary of the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr.), the identity of the author (Cesar Chavez, a labor union organizer and civil rights leader), and the publication venue (the magazine of a religious organization devoted to helping the poor). From this information students had to infer the original audience and something of the rhetorical purpose of Chavez's "argument about nonviolent resistance." From their reading of the text, students had to understand the particularities and the overarching point of Chavez's argument, discern its logic and appeals, and further infer the intentionality behind it. This year's analysis question directed students' attention not to "rhetorical devices" or even "rhetorical strategies" but to "rhetorical choices" made by Chavez. This terminology was selected to emphasize the primacy of authorial agency and communicative purpose over the implementation of formal tropes in the text.

Sample: 2A Score: 8

This essay effectively identifies and analyzes three of Cesar Chavez's rhetorical choices — striking diction, juxtaposition, and appeals to reader's fundamental moral beliefs — to argue that "nonviolence is the best and most moral way to bring change." Providing convincing evidence and analysis (for example, "Chavez uses Ghandi [sic], a famous and highly respected advocate of nonviolence, to allude to the success peace can bring since Ghandi [sic] managed to win India back from an empire"), the essay builds its case through a well-developed structure, moving from the analysis of how Chavez's diction works ("less than 10 words into his request, [Chavez] has already tied 'nonviolence' to 'power'") to end with a broader point about how Chavez appeals to the audience's beliefs ("He also appeals to readers' sense of humanity and virtue, portraying nonviolence as something for those who dont [sic] want to exploit the weak or poor"). Although not flawless (sometimes the student overstates the case: "By depicting violence as deplorable and vile, he convinces those with even a shred of decency or humanity"), the essay clearly demonstrates its control over a wide range of the elements of effective writing. For its well-developed rhetorical analysis and effective prose style, this essay earned a score of 8.

Sample: 2B Score: 6

This essay adequately argues that Cesar Chavez "expresses his unwavering support of Dr. King's method of nonviolence through logical support and contrast." The essay analyzes how Chavez develops his argument with logic (nonviolence attracts support while history shows that violence results in the further oppression of the poor, the workers). Then the essay turns to consider "another powerful tool: contrast," observing that the "overall organization of [Chavez's] article folows [sic] a certain format; he first supports nonviolence and then follows that support with a hypothetical 'if.'" This claim is supported with appropriate and sufficient explanation: "'If we webeat [sic] the growers at the expense of violence, victory would come at the expense of injury and ... death.' ... The readers have this continued comparison in their minds as they read, nonviolence — good results, violence — bad results." The essay concludes by quickly summarizing its two main points, contending, "According to Chavez, it's not even a choice. Nonviolence is the only way to go." Despite its rather rushed conclusion and occasional lapses, this essay earned a score of 6 for its adequate rhetorical analysis and generally clear prose.

AP® ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2015 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2 (continued)

Sample: 2C Score: 3

This essay inadequately analyzes the rhetorical choices, identified as "persuasive diction, moving pathological appeals, decisive short syntax, and authoritative ethos," that Cesar Chavez employs. The student misreads the passage (which begins by pointing out that Dr. King inspired the farm workers' movement led by Chavez) to be an appeal "reach[ing] out to an audience of African-Americans working for justice and equality." The student also incorrectly mislabels Chavez's aim of "promoting emotional involvement" in his readers as "pathological." Although the student understands Chavez is making an appeal to pathos here, the essay's analysis of this rhetorical strategy is insufficiently explained and at moments simplistic: "Knowing that an individual life has such a strong significance as the struggle, readers are overcome with a sense of duty and motivation." Similarly, sweeping claims — such as "[h]is short, decisive syntax strengthen [sic] his argument against violent resistance, leaving no room to ignore the plain, haunting facts he presents"— are inadequately explained and supported. Although the essay's control of writing is more typical of essays earning a higher score, it demonstrates a less perceptive understanding and analysis of the passage than essays scored a 4, and therefore it earned a score of 3.