# AP® EUROPEAN HISTORY 2006 SCORING GUIDELINES (Form B)

## **Question 7**

Discuss how the two structures (Arch of Triumph, Paris, 1806–1836, and the Crystal Palace, London, 1850–1851) reflect the societies and cultures that produced them.

## 9-6: Stronger

These essays will illustrate the following qualities with varying degrees of effectiveness.

- Has a clear, well-developed thesis.
- Is well organized.
- Supports the thesis with specific evidence.
- May contain minor errors; even a 9 need not be flawless.

#### Indicators for 9–8

- Explains how each structure is reflective of BOTH the social and cultural environment of their era.
- Has a balanced approach to both structures and to the environment.

#### Indicators for 7–6

- May conflate the terms *society* and *culture*.
- Less balanced explanation either on monuments or social/cultural explanations.

#### 5-4: Mixed

- Contains a thesis, perhaps superficial or simplistic.
- Responds to the question unevenly: task(s), evidence, chronology.
- May contain errors, factual and/or interpretive.

## Indicators of 5–4

- Mentions both monuments but effectively analyzes only one.
- Offers little analysis of the monuments to society/culture.
- Offers generalized information on society, culture, or monuments.

## 3-0: Weaker

These essays demonstrate the following qualities to varying degrees.

- Thesis is confused, unfocused, or absent, or simply restates the question.
- Misconstrues the question, or omits major tasks.
- May contain major errors.

## Indicators of 3–2

- Contains vague statements about the monuments and their social/cultural environment.
- Shows heavy dependence on mere description; minimal connection to question tasks.

### Indicators of 1–0

- May attempt to address the question but fails to do so.
- Shows almost total dependence on description.
- Includes serious errors that indicate no understanding of the eras or the monuments.

write in the box the number of the question you are answering // on this page as it is designated in the examination. Triumph built nas Ele 1806 in and and Ín Palace Construction 11 1850 and was Both these Structures ÍΛ the Contexts 05 Ele and culture. Society these in Fluenced structures Social beliefs Anh started 1806, built be Was ruk When Vapoleonic in conquest CONGINENGI and Lunge pvlde reache! its -vench 165 at was believes Chaf leak; Ele ideals Revolution satisfied that Ches and neve Eide the nen In fo pace. resulted in Construction -verch Tulum Koman lac e origing 4 an Cevemony SCEEM was in the thab reople's ambifious in the Keman the Was structure was an own

Palace was full in 1850 structure in that never a ne 9/055 and steel, Palace dominare innovate and vesulfel movenent Cul bural Could national maximize *the* would Classic was

, reflect to a certain Crystal Palace cultores Crystal Palace of London reflects what arowing world. Unlike the Crystal Palaces old and classic. in doing 50, France & holls 115 maintaining virtue of many events that took place, France.

Part C Question 7	on this page as i	it is designated in t	e question you are an the examination.	B-2
London's Crystal R	lace fails to an	asp the sim	illar identities	£
the auch of Triumph	in Paris But	instaid, t	to Cristal	Palace
makes up for the o				
Industrial nevolution.	31917 TOOLON ON	WE KILL	C or 113 1(CM)	coving in
	and of nation	al identiti	Al. About	home
structures are p	act of hadon	an ruentrus.	Many SILVO	(NYC)
capture the essence	ota nanovis	alregions	and history	· Meselving
such structures also			, <b>\</b>	` .
it reflects how event	s shaped hatto	ns, and ha	o they are re	presented.
·				
		100 - 100 - 100		
	<del></del>	<u> </u>		
,		<del></del>		
			<u> </u>	
·				
-				

The societies and outtines of France and Phaland can be seen in both the Arch of Titumph (Pains) and Christal Palace (London). While both were built in the two structures are dramatically different representing dramatically different commities, From size to the shape as well as the location all pimpase in discussing the two different societies and cultures by which then The actual shape of the two attractures say shape of the 10+ abatipurpose apportance The itera seen mraighout Rome and is created inanumental representation of Victenz to stand at fer its size as well as the architecture decoration. It is in the middle of the important city in France, to show that they a pawerful and victoriaus nation. Me Thrimph senies no purpose besides its representation. The crystal Ponace Surpases the Arch of Mimph greatly in 817e, and has a completely different style. What makes the Chastai Palace really amazine overall design. White it has no real exterior decorations as does the Arch of Triumph. repeating vertice collings and curving arches apair from all other structures. The symmetry it stand out. mraignat mares Ratio STANCTURES

let about cantices that produced them The Arch or Trumph represents the strong sense of pationalism within France, and there rene their proise of victory. It arready has a large paralle, me parace of versaille, representing the pawer of within (and or Lauis XIV). The Arch of Thiumph is a John CE SYMBOL OF TEMPENDOUGH CORRESPONDED IN OH IS SEEN My thousands and stands strong in the heart of the Notion. Me crystal Palace or London, On the obverhand represents a sacrety most wishes to parmary it smengen and power through size, instead of void and decorative beauty (I've in the Arch of Triumph). It also is set in the heart of its country. represents England, and its societion and culture, as independent and powerful. The architecture is modern for its time snowing the movative of the people Both structures are vent representational or mut produced and sultimes England were and paverful nations, they had grave. 1000000 This can be seen charup through analyzing the Arch of Milmph (Panz) and the crystal Parace (uandan)

# AP® EUROPEAN HISTORY 2006 SCORING COMMENTARY (Form B)

#### Question 7

Sample: 7A Score: 9

This essay has a concise thesis in both the introduction and the conclusion. There is a very balanced approach to each monument and to the analysis of French and British culture and society. The student includes a mature analysis with supporting evidence. Also, the response manages to include change over time while comparing and contrasting the eras, taking the essay far beyond the basic requirements of the question.

Sample: 7B Score: 4

The two attempts at a thesis (introduction and conclusion) are simplistic and superficial statements without any clear focus or direction. The essay refers to both monuments and correctly analyzes the society and culture of Britain. The uneven and ineffective discussion of French society and culture is generalized.

Sample: 7C Score: 2

The thesis statements provided here in both the introduction and the conclusion merely restate the question. Some of the descriptions provided are actually fallacious. There is the most minimal of connections of the monuments to the societies and cultures of France and Britain.