# AP Seminar End of Course Exam

## Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary

#### Inside:

- ☑ Part B
- ☑ Scoring Guideline
- **☑** Student Samples
- **☑** Scoring Commentary

## AP Seminar Rubric 2017: End-of-Course Exam Part B, Question 4

		Performance Levels						
Row	Content Area/ Proficiency	Low	Medium	High	Points (Max)			
1	Establish Argument	The response misstates or overlooks a theme or issue that connects the sources. The response's perspective is unclear or unrelated to the sources.	The response identifies a theme or issue that connects the sources. The response derives its perspective from only one of the sources.	The response identifies a theme or issue connecting the provided sources and presents a perspective that is not represented in one of the sources OR brings a particularly insightful approach to one of the perspectives OR makes a strong thematic connection among perspectives.				
		2	4	6	6			
2	Establish Argument	The response's line of reasoning is disorganized and/or illogical. The response lacks commentary or the commentary incorrectly or tangentially explains the links between evidence and claims.	The argument is mostly clear and organized, but the logic may be faulty OR the reasoning may be logical but not well organized. The commentary explains the links between evidence and claims.	The response's line of reasoning is logically organized and well-developed. The commentary explains evidence and connects it to claims to clearly and convincingly establish an argument.				
		2	4	6	6			
3	Select and Use Evidence	The response repeats or misinterprets information from at least two of the provided sources, or the information lacks relevance thereby providing little support for an argument.	The response accurately uses relevant information from at least two of the provided sources to support an argument.	The response appropriately synthesizes relevant information drawn from at least two of the provided sources to develop and support a compelling argument.				
		2	4	6	6			

## AP Seminar Rubric 2017: End-of-Course Exam Part B, Question 4 (continued)

		Performance Levels							
Row	Content Area/ Proficiency	Low	Medium	High	Points (Max)				
4	Apply Conventions	The response contains many flaws in grammar and style that often interfere with communication to the reader OR the response incorrectly or ineffectively attributes knowledge and ideas from sources.	The response is generally clear but contains some flaws in grammar and style that occasionally interfere with communication to the reader. The response accurately attributes knowledge and ideas from sources.	The response communicates clearly to the reader (although may not be free of errors in grammar and style) AND the response effectively integrates material from sources into the argument (e.g. it is clearly introduced, integrated, or embedded into the text) and accurately attributes knowledge and ideas.					
		2	4	6	6				

#### **Additional Scores**

In addition to the scores represented on the rubrics, readers can also assign scores of 0 (zero) and NR (No Response).

#### 0 (Zero)

- A score of **0** is assigned to a single row of the rubric when the response displays a below-minimum level of quality as identified in that row of the rubric.
- Scores of **0** are assigned to all rows of the rubric when the response is off-topic; a repetition of a prompt; entirely crossed-out; a drawing or other markings; or a response in a language other than English.

#### NR (No Response)

A score of **NR** is assigned to responses that are blank.

#### Begin your response to PART B on this page.

Imagine walking down the street in a crowded city, trying to find somewhere to eat. Within two a Taco Bell, Jack in the blocks you've probably seen least 2 McDonalds & and Wendy's, Jubuay, and at nealthy voure lucky - one independent restourant. makes sense; it's affordable, convenient, fast, and 7 ti American restaurant consumption has become fast-food markets in the recent decades to the Gallup Consumption Poll shows that respondents report to visiting a fast-food restaurant a month. This consumption has ignifed debate over what could be taken to action draw American back to healthier food options and monopolized fast-food chains. One potential Fram the has recently sparted the question, "Should farmers aption to increase production and availability Subsidized s d healthier foods?" In comparing the of current pros independent restaurants, and of fast-food versus and LOUS considering the economic and societal implications intervention. It is ofdear that outside farmer subsidation could significantly increase the availability oί IV. healthier food options America.

understand the complexities of this discussion determine Why American consumers 14 15 neces sary to fast-food and junkfood. In Gallup's question ed Consumption poll respondents were frequency of their consumption fust-food 10 their perceptions of fast-food generally.

The results of the pod vevealed that "All Americans" Perceive 481 of "All Americans" perceive fast food to "Not too good" for you, and Furthermore, this number increases with consumption: 54% of weekly believe fast-food to present negative health consumers equierns. However, despite this awareness of poll exemplifies that exemplifies Americans are handly changing American's are hardly changing from these monopolistic chains. Much consumption to the abundance and proximity -these is attributed ophous; fast food is in fact fast, and consequently, highly consumed by young people who 4; the ease and affortibility of fast-food options. comparison, healthier food options are comparison, healthier Add options are rarely available at similar pricing and abundance as many obtain restaurants. In terms o To explain this exonomically, the major fact-food chains Lincluding McDonalds, Wendy's, Taco Bell, etc. > exhibit olig the control oligopoly within the market. This means that companies offer extremely similar products at Mese au. extremely low cost. As a result, it is tor cest independent restaurant to enter new fast-food market and remain competitive the <del>market</del> prices. As healthier food options higher more, it is impossible for them to typically c05+ same availability and affordability expand to the chains corrently running the market. which this This, the only ways Problem

can begin to be addressed is by changing the environment within healthier food options.

While this is a two pronged issue and proximity and affordability must be addresed in healthy independent firms to compete with fast tood, these two facets require of the isse problem require different actions be taken. However, according to Study con article written by Joe Contright within the Atlantic, price levels play a more influential in food access than grocery store location. In the article, Contright address the question whether lack ρţ to healthy eating to blame for access was Eating Habits." In an analysis of Americas Poor the issue Contright variety of perspectives on finds that food availability explains very little food choice and instead this decision is largely determined income. Contright's analysis arrives at the conclusion on raising incomes if that "governments should focus they wish to increase access to healthy food in low Income families. However, a better comprable solution to this issue may not be to raise incomes, but to decrease the price of goo healthy eating.

To determine what courses of action can be taken to reduce food prices we must analyze the issue from an ecounomic standpoint. In a typical competitive manket, prices and production are there to dictated by rules of supply and demand. The two ways in which the prices can

supply. As decreasing demand or by increasing supply. As decreasing demand is counterintuitive to the goal of increasing heathy eating, the feasibility of the other option must be determined. Should farmers of natural and healthy food products be subsidized in their production, workers have much more incentive to enter the field. As a result, government subsidy would directly correlate in an increase in supply of both farmers—leading to a decrease in prices and an increase in production. This change would make heathy food options a more practical option for low-income consumers and would benefit competition for independent companies versus fast food chains.

However, government involvement in the fast-food market does face many oposition in torms of practicality and ethicality. The major openents of such involvement would be fast-food lobyists as well as fiscal conscrutives oposes to government involvement in the economy. However, public health is a major governmental concern, and thus, the US government has an ethical responsibility to take actions in improving public access to healthy food options. Furthermore, as 48% of Americans 76% of Americans believe fast food is either "not too good" or mod "not good at all' there is significant public support for the availability of more afterdable healthy eating the fast-food landscapes. Therefore, the options in counterarguments to such a solution as a significant subsidization do not propose

nor do they accurately convey any ethical on social harms. dilemmas.

Therefore, it is evidant that the current world deeply flawed. America's American fast-bod diving is eating habits have run rampent in previous decades the ease and price of unhealthy chains compared to the expense and difficulty of options. However, should action be taten Subsidize farmers in production of natural, heathy food options, the landscape of this market changed that healthier options be afformable So rain Americans, allowing them to put down the fries and pick up their lives.

#### Begin your response to PART B on this page.

Fast food has been a Major part in the United States of America's food system. In the year 2000, Americans spent more than the SIIO birlion on fast food (Source C). These fast food rest avants allow people to have easy access to food almost everyone. Additionally, its cheap prices allow for even imporrished people to purchase their food. However, the fast food industry over all does not benefit American citizens. The war easy access to fast food and poverty in America (auses poor diet decisions in citizens,

The Main problem with fast food is now inhealthy it is compared to other types of tood. Actording to source C. The typical American now consumes a proximatery three humburgurs and four ordors of french fries every week." Additionally there are little to not "mentions where these toods come from nowadays or what ingredients they contains" (Source). These types of food are very unhealthy for people, yet many people still consumer it. According to a study done by Source () 28010 of people acknowledge that fast food is "not good at ak" for your health. However, people keep lating fact food occause of its availability.

Another problem with fast food is how accessible it is. According to the study done by source D, 50% of Ambrews eat I fast food at least once a week. The amount of restaurants there are combined with the cheap prices draw in Many people. Measterwith Over 40% of people with an income between \$20,000 to \$29,000 worsome consume fast food at least weekly I source D). The availability of fast food to almost all citizens causes many people to eat at these restaurants weekly even when they know it isn't healthy for them. However, the amount of poverty in America contributes to this problem as well.

Poverty sequices families to live off of cheaper sources of food in order to support themselves. Amostya Sen argues that the "Cause of starvation and death in families families is selden a play physical lack of sufficient food, but is instead the collapse of the incomes of the poor". In order to ensure that impoverished families eve receiving healthy meals, the government should focus on raising incomes (Doc A). This would allow more families to purchase grocery store items instead of relying on cheaper and unhealthy foods.

In conclusion to solve the issue of poor viet choices the government needs to raise incomes to assist the impoverished. This would allow fast food to be purchased less frequently due to higher wages exwall inocasing the health of citizens. This in turn would reduce the risks of poor eating habits while also assisting many citizens francally.

#### Begin your response to PART B on this page.

America today is not America like 5 or 10 years ago. America today is higher on fast food places than in fresh and healthy regestable. People With little or no money can only afford fast food. Therefore because of this poor people in the inited states suffer from measurally worse nutrition because of limited access to good food (The Atlantic, november 9, 2015). And if the people do get regestables it only a few who offer fresh fruit and regestables. "fast food and processed food in anivenience stores" with few offering fresh fruits and regestables (The Atlantic november 9, 2015).

production, rice, maize, whereast for people on welfare. Being that I fifty percent of the present wirld population is indemourished and that an Even larger percentage, perhaps sixty-five percent, is malnourished, no room is left for comfacency!! (Nobelprize. org, December 11, 1970). It will be not enough to fix the situation of unreathy choices and thronger even if we did countres such as the U.S. canada, Australia, Argentina, and russia won't alcow the expansion to happen because of their weak economies

production by at least thirty percent of Even if present production could expand capidly by thirty percent in the developing Countries ooo the hunger problem as It now exists with Still would not be solved "Choble prize org, December 11, 1970).

Fastfood is NOW everywhere over the last three decades o it went from a handful to # fastfood being in every corner, Fast food is now in school, hospitals, restaurants, bargen stores like k-mart, was mapt war-mart etc "in 1970 Americans Spent about 6 billion an Past food and in 2000 more than 110 billian was spent on Past Food. Fast food makes enemeys with farmers which makes fruits and vegestables limited. The Farm activist Jim Hightower warned of "The McDonardization of America" Viewed that the fast growing economies of Fast food industry as a threat to independent businesses. And in "eat your heart out" Stating that bigger is not better as wer as restaurants and fast food places going from a Size small only to a large size that can be shared with 2 or more people.

In	Conclu	sian	fast food	and	
healthy Being the than	food	have	been	a prob	lem
Being the	at the	e 15	more	Fast	oud
than f	resh h	ealth	1 food.	And it	_
" hearth	1" the f	ood is	proces	sed. Wi	th
necuting	Food '	being	rimited	& consum	
tend to	, go +0	fast	Poodo		

#### AP® SEMINAR 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

### End-of-Course Synthesizing and Creating Evidence-Based Argument

#### Overview

The response to this question was expected to demonstrate the students' ability to:

- Identify a theme or issue connecting two or more of the provided sources
- Read the sources critically, understanding the perspective or voice contained in each source
- Use the theme as an impetus for writing a logically organized, well-reasoned, and well-crafted argument presenting their perspective
- Incorporate two or more of the sources to support the newly-developed argument
- Cite the sources, identifying them either by author or by assigned letters
- Identify or paraphrase quotations
- Complete the task within the 90-minute time period

Sample: A

1 Establish Argument Score: 6
2 Establish Argument Score: 6
3 Select and Use Evidence Score: 6
4 Apply Conventions Score: 6

#### HIGH SAMPLE RESPONSE

#### Row 1

The response earned a score of 6 points because it reflects a clear understanding of the sources and uses those sources to articulate its own thesis about the need for government intervention to regulate the nutritional values of foods offered. The response does not begin by simply stating something along the lines of "Sources A, B, C and D all talk about food;" rather, it sets out its own perspective and then enters a conversation with those sources.

#### Row 2

The response earned a score of 6 points because its argument is easily discernible and well-developed. The argument drives the response; its claims are ordered logically and the line of reasoning is easy to follow. It is attentive to counterclaims, addressing them as necessary without losing its own focus.

#### Row 3

The response earned a score of 6 points because information from the sources is seamlessly woven into the argument. The evidence is not cherry-picked: the claims are matched to the material from the sources.

#### AP® SEMINAR 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

## End-of-Course Synthesizing and Creating Evidence-Based Argument

#### Row 4

The response earned a score of 6 points because it is well-written and easy to follow. It uses transitions well (however, in comparison, therefore) to guide the reader through the analysis. There are few errors in grammar or syntax, and the writing generally enhances the argument. All sources are clearly attributed.

#### Sample: B

Establish Argument Score: 4
 Establish Argument Score: 4
 Select and Use Evidence Score: 4
 Apply Conventions Score: 4

#### MEDIUM SAMPLE RESPONSE

#### Row 1

The response earned a score of 4 points because it utilizes the sources to derive a theme (poverty and access to fast food leads to poor dietary decisions). The sources are somewhat run together, but the response does reflect an understanding of the common themes and makes an effort to bring the sources into conversation with each other.

#### Row 2

The response earned a score of 4 points because it articulates a clear, if simple, argument: fast food and poverty lead to poor dietary choices. The thesis is sustained throughout the response, and an effort is made to link claims relating to the overall argument with evidence. Problematically, the response misconstrues some evidence, e.g., Source D makes it clear that fast food is not eaten primarily by the poor, but the argument and commentary suggest that only the poor eat fast food. The solution feels a bit forced and is underdeveloped.

#### Row 3

The response earned a score of 4 points because it makes a good effort to match evidence to claims. That effort is not wholly successful, as it overlooks some of the nuance in the sources, leading to some overstatement of the evidence contained therein.

#### Row 4

The response earned a score of 4 points. It is clearly written, but the writing does not enhance the argument. Citations are adequate, and errors in grammar and syntax are relatively infrequent.

#### AP® SEMINAR 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

### End-of-Course Synthesizing and Creating Evidence-Based Argument

Sample: C

1 Establish Argument Score: 2
2 Establish Argument Score: 2
3 Select and Use Evidence Score: 2
4 Apply Conventions Score: 2

#### LOW SAMPLE RESPONSE

#### Row 1

The response earned a score of 2 points because it is unclear whether a theme has been identified from the sources. There is no effort to bring the sources together, and the introductory paragraph reflects a misreading of the source it uses. There is no apparent independent perspective nor any attempt to weave the sources together.

#### Row 2

The response earned a score of 2 points because there is no clear argument, and as a result, there is no line of reasoning to follow. The commentary is confusing and sometimes fails to make sense: "...countries such as the U.S., Canada, Australia, Argentina and russia won't allow the expansion to happen because of their weak economies and therefore can't even exand their cereal production by at least thirty percent." The sources are summarized with little apparent understanding of how they might be linked to--or be distinct from--one another.

#### Row 3

The response earned a score of 2 points because the evidence is used randomly and is not utilized to support a claim. Source B, for example, has little to do with poor diets in the United States, nor does Source B connect at all with the later argument about fast food consumption in the United States.

#### Row 4

The response earned a score of 2 points because the writing is clumsy, which makes it difficult to decipher the meaning. Though some sources are cited, many are unattributed. Material is often paraphrased or quoted exactly without citations. There are many sentence fragments and other errors of grammar and syntax: "With the need of increase in cereal production, rice, maize, wheat for people on welfare."