AP® UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2007 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2

6 points

Part (a): 1 point

One point is earned for correctly identifying the establishment clause as the First Amendment clause upon which the United States Supreme Court based its decision for either the *Engel* or *Lemon* case.

Part (b): 1 point

One point is earned for a correct description of the Supreme Court's decision in the case that was selected in part (a).

Acceptable explanations of Engel v. Vitale:

- Must say that the decision struck down state-sponsored prayer in school.
- MUST mention state-sponsored or state-organized prayer to get credit.
- MUST indicate that the prayer had some type of official government backing/sponsorship/sanction.
- Do not have to specify "public schools" to get credit.

No point is given if the answer states only that the decision "banned prayer in school."

Acceptable explanations of Lemon v. Kurtzman:

- Must say that the decision struck down state funding for private religious schools.
 (More specifically, it struck down state funding to pay parochial teachers to give instruction in secular subjects.)
- Will receive credit for statements recognizing that there are certain conditions or criteria that are used in determining if a government practice does not violate the establishment clause. Conditions/criteria may include:
 - o Secular purpose.
 - o Neither enhances nor inhibits religion.
 - o No excessive entanglement between government and religion.

Part (c): 1 point

One point is earned for identifying the free exercise clause as the First Amendment clause upon which the Supreme Court based its decision for either *Reynolds* v. *United States* or *Oregon* v. *Smith*.

Part (d): 1 point

One point is earned for describing the Supreme Court's decision in the case that was selected in part (c).

An acceptable explanation of Reynolds v. United States is:

• The decision restricted/banned/disallowed polygamy.

AP® UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2007 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2 (continued)

No point is earned for saying that the "Supreme Court declared polygamy to be unconstitutional." Polygamy is a personal action that is illegal but not a governmental action that is unconstitutional.

An acceptable explanation of Oregon v. Smith is:

• The decision restricted/banned drug use in religious ceremonies.

No point is earned for saying that the "Supreme Court declared drug use in religious ceremonies to be unconstitutional." Drug use is a personal action that is illegal but not a governmental action that is unconstitutional

Part (e): 2 points

One point is earned for each correct description of ways in which other political institutions might limit the impact of Supreme Court decisions.

Acceptable descriptions may include:

- Congressional/state/local legislation.
- Executive branch/state government refusal to enforce a Supreme Court decision; ignoring a Supreme Court decision.
- Judicial appointments.
- Constitutional amendment.
- Change in appellate jurisdiction.

Other political institutions do not have to be identified by name; for example, "Constitutional amendments can be passed to overturn Supreme Court decisions" is acceptable.

In part (e), students do not have to confine their answers to freedom of religion. An acceptable answer, for example, might cite state legislation designed to get around the provisions of *Roe* v. *Wade* even though the latter obviously does not involve freedom of religion.

A score of zero (0) is earned for an attempted answer that earns no points.

A score of dash (—) is earned for a blank or off-task answer.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering	
on this page as it is designated in the exam.	

2	2A1
	JA1

a in Engel V Vitale the speeme court based its
deers whom the free speach clause of the 15th ammendment.
b) The supreme court stated that 870 students commot
be forced to pray inschools because of free
Speech umchis Tuted in the 1st ammendment.
Under free speach people can express them when
as they wish and commot be purched for it, inless
It presents a clear and present danger to society.
c) In Oregon V Smeth the supreme court basedits
decision on the free exercise clause of the 1st
am mendment,
d) The supreme court steated that alway ise \$,
during religious ceremonies is allowed as long
Os it is established that the religion is legitimate
and the drugs are used for that purpose a
Spentic religious purpose. These provisions one
held inder the free exercise clause of the 18th
amendment.
e) Oneway in which other political instations may
limit the impact of supreme court elecisions is
through the amend ment process. If people are inhappy
with an astablished amendment thesporeme
conthos estubished, then the people can go
Amough the formal amendment proces in order
Ashare (+ Changed). Buy as throw the amondment

2B

In the Supreme Court case of Engel V.
Vitale, the Supreme Court based the decision it
much of the establishment & clause in the
First amendment. In this case, the Supreme
Court decided that required school prayer is
unconstitutional, even if it is non-denominational
In the Supreme Court rase of Reynolds v.
United States the Supreme Court based the decision
it made off at the establishment down in the
First ammendment In this rose, the Supreme Court
decided that having notifie wives is bad, and
that even though it is part of the religious foundation
that even though it is part of the religious Foundation of Mormonism it is Still illegal. Political institution
can limit the impact of Supreme Court cases by
Endorsing loop holes in decisions and by supporting the defendant in the cases.
supporting the detendant in the cases.

	on this page as it is designated in the exam.	<u> </u>
		20
	A) In Engel V. Vitas +1	ne First Amondmedi
	clause that was viola	tal was
	Seperation of church a	nd state
	B) The supreame Court	declased that
	because it was a public	c school, funded
	by the State, About it	ten sou
	Constitutional for there	to be R
	School prayer	
	C) In Paynolds V. Ur	inted States
	the Pt amondment do	iuse that
tho	m was ossicated with t	his case is
MIO	13 ABADIA COCOMORIA	at State in The
Rollqio	a government cannot to	ell a man
	how many giftenends he	e Cah have.
\mathcal{D}	1) touseuse the govern	neut is not
	apiron to Facillako to the	whim al
-	Peligion. These news to	be sepercotion.
	Is they allowed foly	gament then
	If they allowed for	abourd "religious"
ç	TYGOLTIONS (LIND LANKIN)	a sacrifical
e e) It the the boursestood	becuracracia
	does not like the co	brts ruling
_	as it goes from one love	of 15 the
_	next it can also you the	ingl or be

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering

	Write in the box the number of the question you are answering
	write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam. $2 0.2$
carried w	+ differently than the
Supreame	Court experted, "The law
15 more	& a dead letter if there is
no she to	s regentorie it. The
Beaut (Ca(c)	- could library the roling
Moderati	in facult about the ruling
eretrella	Tresuld Slip through
the cro	acks therefore it would
1, Mit	ho impact of the supreame
Court d	lecistors
	

AP® UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2007 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2

Overview

The primary intent of this question was not to test students on their knowledge of Supreme Court cases per se, but rather to assess their understanding of religious freedom and the fact that there are *limits* to the freedom of religion. This question required students to identify the two First Amendment clauses relating to religion, and then, via two Supreme Court cases, students had an opportunity to show how the Supreme Court has imposed limits on freedom of religion. To facilitate student responses to parts (b) and (d), students were cued to the topic of each case within the question. The question tests whether students with a basic factual understanding of religious freedom could use that understanding in an analytic way.

A secondary intent of the question was to determine if students understood that even though the Supreme Court can make a decision, other political institutions can limit the impact of such a decision.

Sample: 2A Score: 5

In part (a) the response earned 1 point for identifying the establishment clause as being used in *Lemon* v. *Kurtzman*.

In part (b) the response earned 1 point for describing the Supreme Court's decision in *Lemon* v. *Kurtzman*: "states cannot fund private religious schools ..."

In part (c) the response earned 1 point for point for identifying the free exercise clause as being used in *Oregon* v. *Smith*.

In part (d) the response did not earn a point because the response incorrectly describes the Supreme Court's decision in *Oregon* v. *Smith*.

In part (e) the response earned 1 point for describing a way in which other political institutions might limit the impact of Supreme Court decisions: "One way... is through the amendment process ... [b]y getting the amendment approved by 2/3 of both houses and 3/4 of State legislatures." The response earned a second point in part (e) by describing another way in which other political institutions might limit the impact of Supreme Court decisions: "Getting a law passed through Congress and approved by the president ... may override a Supreme Court[']s decision."

Sample: 2B Score: 3

In part (a) the response earned 1 point for identifying the establishment clause as the basis for the decision in *Engel* v. *Vitale*.

In part (b) the response earned 1 point for stating that "required school prayer is unconstitutional ..."

In part (c) the student incorrectly identifies the establishment clause and therefore earned no point.

AP® UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2007 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2 (continued)

In part (d) the response earned 1 point for stating that "having multiple wives ... even though it is part of the religious foundation of Mormonism, it is still illegal."

In part (e) the response did not earn a point because the answer does not address ways that other political institutions might limit the impact of Supreme Court decisions.

Sample: 2C Score: 1

In part (a) the response did not earn a point because it incorrectly identifies the First Amendment clause addressed in *Engel* v. *Vitale* as "seperation [sic] of church and state."

In part (b) the response did not earn a point because it does not tie the *Engel* v. *Vitale* decision to state-sponsored prayer.

In part (c) the response did not earn a point because it incorrectly identifies "freedom of religion" as the First Amendment clause associated with *Reynolds* v. *United States*.

In part (d) the response did not earn a point because it incorrectly describes the decision in *Reynolds* v. *United States*.

In part (e) the response earned 1 point for describing a way in which other political institutions might limit the impact of Supreme Court decisions: "If the [bureaucracy] does not like the courts ruling ... it can slowly change or be carried out differently than the Supreme Court expected ... The [bureaucracy] could forget about the ruling entirely."