

## AP<sup>®</sup> Statistics (Operational) 2004 Sample Student Responses

The materials included in these files are intended for noncommercial use by AP teachers for course and exam preparation; permission for any other use must be sought from the Advanced Placement Program<sup>®</sup>. Teachers may reproduce them, in whole or in part, in limited quantities, for face-to-face teaching purposes but may not mass distribute the materials, electronically or otherwise. This permission does not apply to any third-party copyrights contained herein. These materials and any copies made of them may not be resold, and the copyright notices must be retained as they appear here.

The College Board is a not-for-profit membership association whose mission is to connect students to college success and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the association is composed of more than 4,500 schools, colleges, universities, and other educational organizations. Each year, the College Board serves over three million students and their parents, 23,000 high schools, and 3,500 colleges through major programs and services in college admissions, guidance, assessment, financial aid, enrollment, and teaching and learning. Amo ng its best-known programs are the SAT®, the PSAT/NMSQT®, and the Advanced Placement Program® (AP®). The College Board is committed to the principles of excellence and equity, and that commitment is embodied in all of its programs, services, activities, and concerns.

For further information, visit www.collegeboard.com

Copyright © 2004 College Entrance Examination Board. All rights reserved. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, AP Central, AP Vertical Teams, APCD, Pacesetter, Pre-AP, SAT, Student Search Service, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Entrance Examination Board. PSAT/NMSQT is a registered trademark of the College Entrance Examination Board and National Merit Scholarship Corporation.

Educational Testing Service and ETS are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service.

Other products and services may be trademarks of their respective owners.

A.

## **STATISTICS**

**Section II** 

Part B

## Question 6

Spend about 25 minutes on this part of the exam.

Percent of Section II grade—25

**Directions:** Show all your work. Indicate clearly the methods you use, because you will be graded on the correctness of your methods as well as on the accuracy of your results and explanation.

6. A pharmaceutical company has developed a new drug to reduce cholesterol. A regulatory agency will recommend the new drug for use if there is convincing evidence that the mean reduction in cholesterol level after one month of use is more than 20 milligrams/deciliter (mg/dl), because a mean reduction of this magnitude would be greater than the mean reduction for the current most widely used drug.

The pharmaceutical company collected data by giving the new drug to a random sample of 50 people from the population of people with high cholesterol. The reduction in cholesterol level after one month of use was recorded for each individual in the sample, resulting in a sample mean reduction and standard deviation of 24 mg/dl and 15 mg/dl, respectively.  $\overline{\chi} = 24$   $\leq = 15$ 

(a) The regulatory agency decides to use an interval estimate for the population mean reduction in cholesterol level for the new drug. Provide this 95 percent confidence interval. Be sure to interpret this interval.

We are 95% confident that the true mean reduction in cholesterol level ofter one month of user's between 19.7 mg/d1 and 28.3 mg/d1

(19.738, 28.262) mg/d1

If you need more room for your work to part (a), use the space below.

(b) Because the 95 percent confidence interval includes 20, the regulatory agency is not convinced that the new drug is better than the current best-seller. The pharmaceutical company tested the following hypotheses.

$$H_0$$
:  $\mu = 20$  versus  $H_a$ :  $\mu \ge 20$ ,

where  $\mu$  represents the population mean reduction in cholesterol level for the new drug.

The test procedure resulted in a *t*-value of 1.89 and a *p*-value of 0.033. Because the *p*-value was less than 0.05, the company believes that there is convincing evidence that the mean reduction in cholesterol level for the new drug is more than 20. Explain why the confidence interval and the hypothesis test led to different conclusions.

A confidence interval is comparable to a two-sided test of the same significance level. So the p-value for a two-sided test is two times the p-value for a one-sided test. So the p-value for the two-sided test usual be 2(,033)=.066 \times.05, so the two-sided test is not significant at the \$05 level (consistent with the 95% confidence interval results).

Unauthorized copying or reuse of any part of this page is illegal.

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.



(c) The company would like to determine a value L that would allow them to make the following statement.

We are 95 percent confident that the true mean reduction in cholesterol level is greater than L. A statement of this form is called a one-sided confidence interval. The value of L can be found using the following formula.

$$L = \overline{x} - t^* \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$$

This has the same form as the lower endpoint of the confidence interval in part (a), but requires a different critical value,  $t^*$ . What value should be used for  $t^*$ ?

of still=49 (use 50 intable)

Use a tail probability of .05 instead of .025 because there's only one tail and we still need a .05 significance level.

SO 
$$t^* = 1.676$$

Recall that the sample mean reduction in cholesterol level and standard deviation are 24 mg/dl and 15 mg/dl, respectively. Compute the value of L.  $\overline{\chi} = 24$  S = 15  $\gamma = 50$ 

(d) If the regulatory agency had used the one-sided confidence interval in part (c) rather than the interval constructed in part (a), would it have reached a different conclusion? Explain.

Yes, it would have reached a different conclusion.

The regulatory agency said it would recommend the new drug for use if there was convincing evidence that the mean reduction in cholesterol level after one month of use was 20 mg/di. They were not convinced that the newdrug was better than the current best-seller because the confidence interval into contained 20 mg/di. However, the new interval provides convincing evidence at the 5% level that the reduction is greater than 20 mg/di so the regulatory agency would probably have reached the different conclusion that they would recommend the newdrug for use.

Unauthorized copying or reuse of any part of this page is illegal.

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.



## **STATISTICS**

Section II

Part B

**Ouestion 6** 

Spend about 25 minutes on this part of the exam.

Percent of Section II grade—25

**Directions:** Show all your work. Indicate clearly the methods you use, because you will be graded on the correctness of your methods as well as on the accuracy of your results and explanation.

6. A pharmaceutical company has developed a new drug to reduce cholesterol. A regulatory agency will recommend the new drug for use if there is convincing evidence that the mean reduction in cholesterol level after one month of use is more than 20 milligrams/deciliter (mg/dl), because a mean reduction of this magnitude would be greater than the mean reduction for the current most widely used drug.

The pharmaceutical company collected data by giving the new drug to a random sample of 50 people from the population of people with high cholesterol. The reduction in cholesterol level after one month of use was recorded for each individual in the sample, resulting in a sample mean reduction and standard deviation of 24 mg/dl and 15 mg/dl, respectively.

(a) The regulatory agency decides to use an interval estimate for the population mean reduction in cholesterol level for the new drug. Provide this 95 percent confidence interval. Be sure to interpret this interval.

$$X = 24$$
 5 = 15  $t^* =$ 
 $X = 24$  5 = 15  $t^* =$ 
 $X = 24$  ± 2.009(15)
 $\sqrt{150}$ 

(19.7383, 28.2017)

The company cannot claim that the mean reduction is greater than 20 because 20 is included in the interval. They can be 95% confident that the actual mean is between 19.7383 and 28,2617

If you need more room for your work to part (a), use the space below.

(b) Because the 95 percent confidence interval includes 20, the regulatory agency is not convinced that the new drug is better than the current best-seller. The pharmaceutical company tested the following hypotheses.

$$H_0$$
:  $\mu = 20$  versus  $H_a$ :  $\mu > 20$ ,

where  $\mu$  represents the population mean reduction in cholesterol level for the new drug.

The test procedure resulted in a t-value of 1.89 and a p-value of 0.033. Because the p-value was less than 0.05, the company believes that there is convincing evidence that the mean reduction in cholesterol level for the new drug is more than 20. Explain why the confidence interval and the hypothesis test led to different conclusions.

The conclusions were different because the confidence interval uses a set (chosen) probability while the t-test calculates an exact probability.

Unauthorized copying or reuse of any part of this page is illegal.



(c) The company would like to determine a value L that would allow them to make the following statement.

We are 95 percent confident that the true mean reduction in cholesterol level is greater than L.

A statement of this form is called a one-sided confidence interval. The value of L can be found using the following formula.

$$L = \overline{x} - t^* \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$$

This has the same form as the lower endpoint of the confidence interval in part (a), but requires a different critical value,  $t^*$ . What value should be used for  $t^*$ ?

Recall that the sample mean reduction in cholesterol level and standard deviation are 24 mg/dl and 15 mg/dl, respectively. Compute the value of L.

$$L = 24 - 1.070 \cdot \frac{15}{150} = 20.4447$$

(d) If the regulatory agency had used the one-sided confidence interval in part (c) rather than the interval constructed in part (a), would it have reached a different conclusion? Explain.

Yes, because the one-sided confidence interval gives a minimum mean of 20.4, which is higher than the required 20,